



Bringing Together Over 30 Years of
Ecological Excellence

Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd, Roselands, Suite 1, 3, Cross Green, Formby, L37 4BH

Dusk Survey Results

June 2024

Project Reference: PR-0096-24

70 Ringley Road West

Radcliffe

Manchester

M26 1DN

National Grid Reference: SD78190576



Document Title	Dusk Survey Results
Project no.	PR-0096-24
Issue no.	1.0
Prepared for	Baltic Planning and Development
Prepared by	Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd

Surveyors	Mr. D. Burrows Qualifying CIEEM & Mr. H. Mulligan Qualifying CIEEM			
Author	Mr. H. Mulligan Qualifying CIEEM			
Survey Dates	Dusk 1	13/05/2024	Dusk 2	03/06/2024
Reviewed by	Mr. J. Pescod Qualifying CIEEM			
Review Date	21/06/2024			
Approved by	Mrs. K. Wilding CEnv MIEMA ACIEEM			
Date of Issue	21/06/2024			

Terms of use:

This report has been prepared by Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the instruction and permissions granted by the client. The results, conclusions and recommendations of this report are presented in line with the British Standard 42020:2013.

Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd have produced this report with all due integrity and adhere to the CIEEM Professional Code of Conduct, with the aim of upholding these objectives and the reputation of the profession.

We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above.

This report is confidential to the client.

This report and contents therein are to be used only in conjunction with the Planning Application for which the report has been produced. It must not be used for any other purpose, copied, re-produced or sent to any other party other than the Local Planning Authority Department without the express permission of Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd. Furthermore, the data contained herein must not be copied, re-produced or sent to any other party/organisation whatsoever without the express permission of Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd.

Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd will however consider forwarding data that is collected as part of its reports to the relevant wildlife records centre.

Executive Summary

As part of a proposed planning application regarding 70 Ringley Road West in Radcliffe, Tyrer Ecological Consultants carried out a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (PEA) in March 2024, followed by two dusk emergence surveys in May and June 2024.

Bats

The site, 28 Hesketh Road, was categorised as pertaining to '**Moderate**' bat roost suitability in line with current Bat Conservation Trust Guidelines (2023), and therefore two dusk emergence surveys were undertaken at the site in May and June 2024.

From the dusk survey results, it can be concluded that whilst using best practice survey methodology, emergence of bats was absent at 70 Ringley Road West, with activity limited to commuting, foraging and social calls by one common pipistrelle bat. There are no further recommendations in relation to bats for 70 Ringley Road West.

Survey Validity

Bat emergence/re-entry surveys are typically **valid for a period of 12-18 months**; Ideally, the survey data should be from the most recent optimal survey season before a planning or licence application is submitted, however this is at the discretion of the Local Planning Authority and/or Natural England. Should your planning application not achieve consent, or a licence application (where required) not be applied for within this time period, updated surveys may be required.

Incidental Observations

Giant hogweed, an invasive non-native species, was incidentally identified within the site boundary during the dusk survey effort, that was not present during the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal. Taking into account the clearance that will take place onsite, the proposals carry a risk of spreading these species off site during the construction phase.

Whilst it is not an offence for this species to be present on a site, it is an offence to spread or allow it to spread; to prevent incidental spread of this species during the proposed works it is recommended that a precautionary working method statement with appropriate biosecurity protocol is instated prior to commencement of site works.

Table of Contents

1.0 Background & Introduction

2.0 Bats – Legislation & Policy

3.0 Survey Methods

4.0 Results

5.0 Conclusions & Recommendations

6.0 References

Appendix I: *Preliminary Ecological Appraisal* (Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd, April 2024)

1.0 Background & Introduction

- 1.1 As part of a proposed planning application regarding 70 Ringley Road West in Radcliffe, Tyrer Ecological Consultants carried out a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (PEA) in March 2024. As part of this survey a Preliminary Roost Assessment (PRA) was carried out, which concluded the following:

*“Based upon the findings of the desktop and field survey, covered through sections 5.0 – 6.0 of the report and supported by **Appendix I**, 70 Ringley Road West is duly categorised as pertaining to ‘**Moderate**’ bat roost suitability, in accordance with Bat Conservation Trust – Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists: Good Practice Guidelines, 4th ed. (2023). It is recommended that **two dusk emergence surveys** are conducted at the site within the season of bats (May – August, extending into September in some cases), in order to establish if / how the building is being used by bats, and if so, identify the species present, abundance, roost locations and flight lines around the site following emergence”*

- 1.2 The PEA was commissioned by Baltic Planning and Development; proposals are understood to entail the clearance of the site including the felling of trees and demolition of the existing building, followed by the erection of four new detached dwellings with associated landscaping and access.
- 1.3 Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd were therefore re-commissioned by Baltic Planning and Development to undertake the further bat surveys recommended in the Preliminary Survey; the surveys (two dusk emergence surveys) were carried out in May and June 2024 in accordance with current Bat Conservation Trust (BCT) Guidelines during the active season of bats (see **Figure 1.1**).

Table 7.2. Recommended minimum number of survey visits for presence/absence surveys to give confidence in a negative result for structures (also recommended for trees but unlikely to give confidence in a negative result).		
Low roost suitability or PRF-I	Moderate roost suitability	High roost suitability or PRF-M
One survey visit. One dusk emergence survey ^a (structures). No further surveys required (trees).	Two separate dusk emergence survey visits ^b .	Three separate dusk emergence survey visits ^b .

Figure 1.1 - Extract from *Bat Conservation Trust – Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists: Good Practice Guidelines, 4th ed. (2023)*

- 1.4 The results, conclusions and recommendations following the survey, including any indicative mitigation to inform an application to Natural England for a European protected species mitigation licence (EPSML), where necessary, will be supplied within this report.
- 1.5 This report should be read, understood and presented to the local authority as an additional document to **Appendix I** (see Contents page).
- 1.6 In accordance with *Biodiversity Net Gain: Good practice principles for development* (CIEEM et al, 2019), measures have been recommended proportionate to anticipated impacts to ensure that the proposed development results in a biodiversity net gain.

2.0 Bats - Legislation & Policy

- 2.1 All British bats and their ****roosts**¹ are afforded protection under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife & Countryside Act (1981) (as amended) and are listed in Schedule 2 of The Conservation of Habitats and Species (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 (SI 2019/579). When dealing with cases where a European Protected Species (EPS) (all UK bats) may be affected, a planning authority is a competent authority within the meaning of the Regulation 7 of the Regulations, that has a statutory duty as the local authority to have due regard to the provisions of the Regulations in the exercise of its functions.

2.2 Use of Buildings by Bats

- a) Summer breeding roost (May-August)
- b) Hibernation roost (October-March)
- c) Transitional or temporary roost (Mainly spring/summer months)

Roost selection is often closely correlated to suitable foraging habitat within a reasonable commuting distance from the roost and different sites are used depending upon insect densities and abundance, climatic conditions can also affect their ability to successfully forage. All British bats are insectivorous.

- 2.3 Up to ten bat species have been recorded in Greater Manchester to date, most of which use built structures notably residential properties for roosting. The most frequently encountered bat species is the common pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus pipistrellus*) and its abundant status in Greater Manchester is reflected throughout the UK.

Policy

- 2.4 Paragraph 186 of the National Policy Planning Framework (as revised in December 2023) states:

“When determining planning applications, local planning authorities should apply the following principles:

a) if significant harm to biodiversity resulting from a development cannot be avoided (through locating on an alternative site with less harmful impacts), adequately mitigated, or, as a last resort, compensated for, then planning permission should be refused;

b) development on land within or outside a Site of Special Scientific Interest, and which is likely to have an adverse effect on it (either individually or in combination with other developments), should not normally be permitted. The only exception is where the benefits of the development in the location proposed clearly outweigh both its likely impact on the features of the site that make it of special scientific interest, and any broader impacts on the national network of Sites of Special Scientific Interest;

c) development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland and ancient or veteran trees) should be refused, unless there are wholly exceptional reasons and a suitable compensation strategy exists; and

d) development whose primary objective is to conserve or enhance biodiversity should be supported; while opportunities to improve biodiversity in and around developments should be

¹ ****The term roost** is generically referred to as a place that bat/s use for the any of the above reasons, however it should be noted that under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations (2019) (EU Exit) (Regulation 43 (d) the term roost is not used but refers to “*a breeding site or resting place of such an animal*” and is afforded legal protection. The roost, breeding site or resting place of bats, which ever terminology is used is legally protected whether or not bats are in occupation.

integrated as part of their design, especially where this can secure measurable net gains for biodiversity or enhance public access to nature where this is appropriate.”

- 2.5 This national focus on the conservation of the natural environment is echoed in Policy EN6 of the Adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (2022), which states:

“The Council will retain, protect and enhance the natural environment of the Borough, particularly in relation to areas of ecological, wildlife and geological importance.”

3.0 Survey Methods

3.1 Bat Conservation Trust - Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists: Good Practice Guidelines, 4th ed. (2023) edition states:-

“The guidelines do not aim to either override or replace knowledge and experience. It is accepted that departures from the guidelines (e.g. either decreasing or increasing the number of surveys carried out or using alternative methods) are often appropriate... The guidance should be interpreted and adapted on a case-by-case basis according to site-specific factors and the professional judgement of an experienced ecologist. Where examples are used in the guidelines, they are descriptive rather than prescriptive.”

Relative to the above the survey protocol has been determined using the collective and long standing experience of Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd and knowledge of the specific nature of the site.

Survey Protocol

3.2 The timing of the surveys took place in May and June thus within the main active period of bats, at a time when maternity colonies have formed / returned to summer roosts and bats are in a highly active and social stage.

In accordance with Bat Conservation Trust - Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists: Good Practice Guidelines, 4th ed. (2023), it is specified that:

“The bat active period is generally considered to be between April and October inclusive”, though the period of May - August is considered the most optimal owing to the likelihood of detecting a greater range of roost types namely Maternity and Summer roosts; typically only surveys within this period are accepted by licensing authorities as the results of these surveys are used to inform an EPS licence application or a non-licensable Precautionary Working Method Statement (PWMS).

In this case, the bat roost potential that exists at 70 Ringley Road West presents no problems for dusk observations; if a building is complex, or observations were restricted, or species that are difficult to detect at dusk are suspected then dawn surveys can be conducted where appropriate. At the site there are no visual constraints and to date there is no evidence to suggest the presence of such species.

Bat Conservation Trust - Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists: Good Practice Guidelines, 4th ed. (2023), it is specified that:

“Roost re-entry visits prior to dawn are no longer routinely recommended for presence/absence surveys due to the risk of bats returning early and being missed ... As return times appear to be far more variable (Andrews & Pearson, 2022).”

Survey protocol should not be determined by parties who are 1) not familiar with the site 2) do not have a sufficient level of experience in relation to the undertaking of dusk/dawn bat surveys.

3.3 The number of surveys and surveyors was adequate relative to the roost potential that was identified on attendance of the site i.e. **‘Moderate’** and requiring two surveyors to accurately monitor potential roost features (PRF's) on the building at any one time.

3.4 Surveyors were strategically positioned so that all elevations with bat roost potential, as described in the daytime report, could be observed without limitation. The surveyors were aided with Anabat SD2, Batlogger M & M2, Echometer EM2, Peersonic RPA3, or equivalent

electronic bat detectors that enable the locating and recording of the high frequency calls that are emitted by bats; echolocation calls were analysed the next day using Analook, Kaleidoscope, BatExplorer or equivalent computer software to verify field observations. Where deemed appropriate by the attending ecologists, elevations were also surveyed via the use of a Night Visual Aid (NVA) Camera (Panasonic HC-VXF990) or Nightfox Whisker Night Vision Binoculars – recordings would be subject to review the following day to identify emergences / flight lines and species / abundance.

Survey Limitations

- 3.5 Following the completion of the further surveys, having carefully considered the results and conclusions derived from all surveys to date, no significant constraints were experienced that might hinder the gathering of ecological data on which to base sound conclusions and recommendations.

4.0 Results

- 4.1 Two dusk emergence surveys were undertaken on 13th May and 3rd June 2024 by two surveyors; surveyor credentials have been given within **Table 4.1**. **Tables 4.2 – 4.3** alongside **Figures 4.1 – 4.2** document the results of the dusk surveys.

Table 4.1 - Surveyor Credentials

Surveyor(s)	Experience	Surveyor Credentials
Mr. D. Burrows Qualifying CIEEM	5 years	An experienced Consultant Ecologist with 5 years of professional training and experience; holding a BSc in Wildlife Conservation and MSc in Conservation & Biodiversity. Accredited agent on the Class 2 Natural England bat license of Mrs. K. Wilding (CLS-14227).
Mr. H. Mulligan Qualifying CIEEM	2 years	Junior Ecologist with several years of professional training and experience; holding a MBiolSci in Biological Sciences (Zoology). Accredited agent on the Class 2 Natural England bat license of Mrs. K. Wilding (CLS-14227).

Table 4.2 – Survey date, times and weather conditions

Times of Survey	Date	Weather Conditions
Dusk survey 1 2046 – 2206	13 th May 2024	Sunset: 2106: Clear, Gentle breeze, 75% cloud cover Start temp: 15 °C End temp: 13 °C
Dusk survey 2 2111 – 2231	3 rd June 2024	Sunset: 2131: Clear, Light air, 80% cloud cover Start temp: 14 °C End temp: 13 °C

Table 4.3 – Dusk Survey Results

Dusk Survey	Time	Activity
13/05/2024	2046 – 2206	Summary: No bat emergence for the duration of the survey. 2131 hrs: Common pipistrelle (CP) commuting pass from north-west to south. 2134 – 2146 hrs: Sporadic CP foraging and social calls at east of building. General activity consisted of sporadic foraging, commuting and social calls by one common pipistrelle bat.
03/06/2024	2111 – 2231	Summary: No bat emergence for the duration of the survey. 2151 – 2200 hrs: Occasional foraging by one CP at west and north of building. General activity consisted of occasional foraging by one common pipistrelle bat.



Dusk Survey 1 – 13/05/2024


Key			
	Site Boundary		Foraging activity
	Surveyor Positions		Commuting activity
	Directional compass		

Figure 4.1 - Visual Aid - Dusk Survey Results with Key



Dusk survey 2 – 03/06/2024

Key			
	Site Boundary		Foraging activity
	Surveyor Positions		Commuting activity
	Directional compass		

Figure 4.2 - Visual Aid - Dusk Survey Results with Key

Incidental Observations

- 4.2 During the dusk surveys, several tall stands of the invasive species giant hogweed (*Heracleum mantegazzianum*), listed as such under Schedule 9 (Part II) of the Wildlife & Countryside Act (1981), were observed onsite, which were not present during the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal. See **Figure 4.3** for approximate locations of the stands onsite, and **Figure 4.4** for indicative imagery.




Figure 4.3 – Approximate locations of invasive giant hogweed observed onsite marked in yellow



Figure 4.4 – Area of dense *giant hogweed* at north-east of site

5.0 Conclusions & Recommendations

- 5.1 From the dusk survey results, it can be concluded that whilst using best practice survey methodology, emergence of bats was absent at 70 Ringley Road West, with activity limited to commuting, foraging and social calls by one common pipistrelle bat at a time.
- 5.2 As bats are highly transient species and can use buildings that offer potential roost features at any time of the year, it should be stated that if bats, or evidence of bats (see **Figure 5.1**), is found at any stage during the works then as a legal requirement the work at the site should immediately cease and a bat ecologist contacted for further advice.



Figure 5.1 - Bat droppings (left) and pipistrelle bat (right)

- 5.3 If bat(s) or their roost will be affected then a Natural England Mitigation licence will be required to legally continue with the work. Notwithstanding the granting of a licence works that would affect a roost cannot take place if a maternity colony is in occupation.
- 5.4 Notwithstanding absence of roost behaviour observed, the proposed development scheme might consider enhancement for bats as part of its biodiversity net-gain goals, in accordance with local and national planning policy.

Incidental Observations

- 5.5 Giant hogweed, an invasive non-native species, was incidentally identified within the site boundary during the dusk survey effort, that was not observed during the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal due to the time of year at which the survey was undertaken. Taking into account the clearance that will take place onsite, the proposals carry a risk of spreading these species off site during the construction phase.
- 5.6 Whilst it is not an offence for this species to be present on a site, it is an offence to spread or allow it to spread; to prevent incidental spread of this species during the proposed works it is recommended that a precautionary working method statement with appropriate biosecurity protocol is instated prior to commencement of site works.

See Figures overleaf for indicative ideas which could be integrated during the proposed new development at 70 Ringley Road West.

Enhancing a development site for Bats


Integrated bat box

The Habibat Bat Box is a solid box made of insulating concrete with internal roosting space. The box blends seamlessly into brick-built properties and may be incorporated into the fabric of buildings, being best placed on gable elevations.



Soffit access

Where soffits are instated at gable elevations, roost provision may be instated in the form of a soffit bat box with internal roosting space.



Externally fitted boxes

A large number of externally fitted box models for bats exist for buildings and trees. Suitable models for both buildings and trees may include the Eco Kent Bat Box.




Ridge access

Where appropriated, ridge tile access should be made with the incorporation of traditional Bitumen 1F underfelt immediately beneath ridge tiles. Breathable BRM membrane can cause significant problems where bats are in contact with it, whereby their fine claws become entangled within the fibres of the membrane, entrapping and killing bats.

Ridge tile access should be made with the incorporation of traditional Bitumen 1F underfelt immediately beneath ridge tiles. Breathable BRM membrane can cause significant problems where bats are in contact with it, whereby their fine claws become entangled within the fibres of the membrane, entrapping and killing bats.





6.0 References

- **Bat Conservation Trust (BCT)**, 2018. *Bats and artificial lighting in the UK: Bats and the Built Environment* series. Available from: <https://www.theilp.org.uk/documents/guidance-note-8-bats-and-artificial-lighting/>
- **Bat Conservation Trust (BCT)**, 2022. *Interim Guidance Note: Use of night vision aids for bat emergence surveys and further comment on dawn surveys*. Available from: <https://cdn.bats.org.uk/uploads/images/Interim-guidance-note-on-NVAs-May-2022-FINAL.pdf?v=1652957834>
- **British Standards Institution (BSI)**, 2020. *BS 8683 Process for designing and implementing Biodiversity Net Gain – Specification*. Available from: <https://standardsdevelopment.bsigroup.com/projects/2018-02413#/section>
- **CIEEM et al**, 2019. *Biodiversity Net Gain: Good practice principles for development*. Available from: www.cieem.net/data/files/Publications/Biodiversity_Net_Gain_Principles.pdf
- **CIEEM**, 2016. *Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal*, 2nd edition. Available from: www.cieem.net/data/files/Publications/Guidelines_for_Preliminary_Ecological_Appraisal_Jan2018_1.pdf
- **CIEEM**, 2015. *Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment in the UK and Ireland*, 2nd edition. Available from: www.cieem.net/data/files/Publications/EcIA_Guidelines_Terrestrial_Freshwater_and_Coastal_Jan_2015.pdf
- **CIEEM**, 2015. *UK Guidelines for Accessing and Using Biodiversity Data*. Available from: www.cieem.net/data/files/Publications/Guidelines_for_Accessing_and_Using_Biodiversity_Data.pdf
- **Collins, J** (ed.), 2015. *Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists: Good Practice Guidelines*, 3rd edition. The Bat Conservation Trust, London.
- **Communities & Local Government (C&LG)**, 2018. *National Planning Policy Framework*. Available from: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/60777/2116950.pdf
- **Magic Maps Application**, 2018. Available from: www.natureonthemap.naturalengland.org.uk/MagicMap.aspx
- **Mitchell-Jones, A. J.**, 2003. *Bat mitigation guidelines*. External Relations Team, English Nature, Northminster House, Peterborough, PE1 1UA.
- **Mitchell-Jones, A. J. (ed.)**, 1986. *The bat worker's manual*. Dept. BWM, Nature Conservancy Council, Northminster House, Peterborough, PE1 1UA.

Appendix I: Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (Tyrer Ecological Consultants Ltd, April 2024)