

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Tom Beirne	Date of report: 20/04/2026	Ref: 73028/LDCP
Registration: 27/03/2026	LDFC:	Expiry Date: 22/05/2026
Site Visit:	Site Notice: N/A	Press Date: N/A
Objections:	Con Area: N/A	Adv Type: N/A
Reason for Delay:		EIA: NA
N/A		Dec Level: DEL
		Stat Class: NREQ

Proposal: Lawful development certificate for proposed construction of a tiled roof over the existing conservatory; converting the existing garage into a habitable room.

Location: 4 Cheshire Court, Ramsbottom, Bury, BL0 0BL

Description

The application relates to a two storey detached house on a residential cu-de-sac of similar styled properties.

The applicant seeks a lawful development certificate to tile the roof of the existing conservatory at the rear and convert the integral garage to living accommodation.

Relevant Planning History

48313 - Two storey extension at side incorporating existing garage at side - Refused 15/08/2007

49909 - Two storey extension at side, porch and canopy at front (revised scheme 46662) - Approve with Conditions 19/06/2008

Publicity

N/A

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

N/A

Pre-start Conditions - N/A

Development Plan and Policies

H2/3 Extensions and Alterations

SPD6 Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), the adopted Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan Document (PfE) and the saved policies within the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP), together with other relevant material planning considerations.

The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP and PfE Policies will be referred to in the report, unless there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;

The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

Assessment - The proposals are considered to be 'permitted development' under the current legislation - Article 3, Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A of The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015. The development would therefore be lawful.

Recommendation: LAWFUL

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The proposal as described in the First Schedule, is deemed to be 'Permitted Development' having regard Article 3, Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A of The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015.
- 2 The materials used in any exterior work shall be of similar appearance to those used in the construction of the exterior of the existing dwellinghouse as required by condition A.3(a) of Article 3 Schedule 2 Part 1 Class A of The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (Amendment) (No.2) (England) Order 2008.

DELEGATED REPORT

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
Tom Beirne	20/04/2026	DM	01.05.2026