

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Amanda

Date of report: 06/09/2022

Ref:68532/FUL

Uhunmwagho

Registration: 07/06/2022

LDfC: 28/07/2022

Expiry Date: 02/08/2022

Site Visit: 27/07/2022

Site Notice: N/A

Press Date: N/A

Objections: 0

Con Area: N/A

Adv Type: NONE

Reason for Delay:

EIA: NA

N/A

Dec Level: DEL

Stat Class: HOUS

Proposal: Single storey extension at front.

Location: 1 Roseberry Close, Ramsbottom, Bury, BL0 9FQ

Description

The proposal relates to a two storey semi-detached house with a drive way to the front and garden to the rear. The highway runs along the front and side of the property and there is a raised flower bed to the front corner of the site.

The proposal is to build a single storey front extension projecting 3m forward of the existing front elevations and measuring 7.47m wide. The roof would be mono-pitched with two rooflights. There would be parking for two vehicles directly to the front of the extension.

Relevant Planning History

N/A

Publicity

Neighbours notified on 06/07/2022 - No objections or comments received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

None

Pre-start Conditions - Not relevant.

Unitary Development Plan and Policies

SPD6 Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions
H2/3 Extensions and Alterations

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP) together with other relevant material planning considerations. The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP Policy will be referred to in the report, unless there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;
The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

The main considerations of this application are the impact of the proposal on visual and residential amenity.

Visual Amenity -

SPD 6 and UDP Policy H2/3 seek to achieve a high standard of design that compliments the original building, and does not have a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of neighbouring properties and the general street scene.

The design of the proposal is considered to be in keeping with the existing building as well as remaining in-keeping with neighbouring properties as well.

To the north there would be just over 3m from the side elevation of the extension to the adjacent highway. This would be acceptable in terms of SPD 6 and it would be no closer to the highway than the existing two storey side elevation is currently. Therefore the proposed extension would not have any more of an impact on the existing street scene than the existing dwelling.

Given the above the proposal conforms to Bury UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the guidance in Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties in terms of visual amenity.

Residential Amenity -

- The extension would be single storey only. As such the separation distances to surrounding properties would be acceptable in terms of SPD 6.

DELEGATED REPORT

- The extension would be built up to the side elevation of no.3 Roseberry Close, attached. Notice has been served on this neighbour and Certificate B submitted with the application. No objections or comments have been received by the owner of no.3. As such the proposed extension would be deemed acceptable in this instance.
- There would be two parking spaces remaining to the front of the extension.
- The extension would be set well in from the site boundary so there would not be any significant detrimental effect on passing vehicles and/or pedestrians.

The proposal conforms to UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the requirements of Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties in terms of

Parking -

The proposal would not increase the number of bedrooms therefore SPD 11 Parking Standards need not be considered. Currently there are two parking spaces to the front of the property and once the extension would be built there would still be two parking spaces remaining to the front of the site. In this instance then the parking arrangements are considered sufficient.

Statement in accordance with Article 35(2) Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2015

The proposal complies with the development plan and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. It therefore comprises sustainable development and the Local Planning Authority worked proactively and positively to issue the decision without delay. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 Town & Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2 This decision relates to drawings numbered 2135/BC/01 Revision A, 2135/BC/02 Revision A and 2135/BC/03 Revision A and the development shall not be carried out except in accordance with the drawings hereby approved.
Reason. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of design pursuant to the policies of the Bury UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the guidance in Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.
- 3 The external finishing materials for the proposal hereby approved shall match those of the existing building.
Reason. In the interests of visual amenity and to ensure a satisfactory development pursuant to Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
AU - 06/09/2022			