

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Amanda

Date of report: 30/05/2022

Ref:68321/FUL

Uhunmwagho

Registration: 11/04/2022

LDfC: 24/05/2022

Expiry Date: 06/06/2022

Site Visit: 25/05/2022

Site Notice: N/A

Press Date: N/A

Objections: 0

Con Area: N/A

Adv Type: NONE

Reason for Delay:

EIA: NA

N/A

Dec Level: DEL

Stat Class: HOUS

Proposal: Single storey front/rear and side extension

Location: 84 Tamworth Avenue, Whitefield, Manchester, M45 6UA

Description

The application property is a two storey previously extended semi-detached house. There are gardens to the front and rear of the dwelling with parking to the front and side of the existing building. The property has been extended to the side at first floor level only with a drive way below leading to a detached garage at the rear.

The proposal is to build a single storey front, rear and side extension. At the side the extension would be built directly below the existing first floor side extension and there would be a canopy to the front. To the rear the single storey extension would project 3.7m from the original rear elevation and it would measure 8.73m wide. The roof would be mono-pitched with three rooflights.

The front porch would project 977mm forward of the main front elevation and it would measure 2.44m wide. The roof would be pitched and the canopy to the front elevation would adjoin it.

Relevant Planning History

N/A

Publicity

Neighbours notified on 03/05/2022 - No comments or objections received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

None

Pre-start Conditions - Not relevant.

Unitary Development Plan and Policies

SPD6 Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions

H2/3 Extensions and Alterations

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP) together with other relevant material planning considerations. The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP Policy will be referred to in the report, unless

there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;

The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

The main considerations of this application are the impact of the proposal on visual and residential amenity.

Visual Amenity -

SPD 6 and UDP Policy H2/3 seek to achieve a high standard of design that compliments the original building, and does not have a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of neighbouring properties and the general street scene.

The proposed extensions would be built with materials and a design that would be considered in-keeping with the existing dwelling as well as with surrounding properties. As such there would be no significant detrimental effect on the character of the existing street scene.

Given the above the proposal conforms to Bury UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the guidance in Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties in terms of visual amenity.

Residential Amenity -

- The side extension would be built directly below the existing first floor side extension on the property and there would be no windows in the side elevation adjacent to the blank two storey side elevation of no.86 next door.
- The proposed single storey rear extension would project 3.7m from the original rear elevation. Taking the Larger Homes Scheme in to account as well as the fact that neither of the adjacent neighbours have objected to the proposal this projection would be acceptable in this instance.
- The rear extension would be around 15m away from the rear boundary on site.
- At the front the proposed porch and canopy would not interfere with the drive way to the front of the site.

Taking the above in to account the proposal conforms to UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the requirements of Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

Parking -

The addition of the single storey extension below the existing first floor side extension would reduce the number of available parking spaces on site to just one. However given that the extensions would not see any further increase of bedrooms at the property and there is ample on street parking to the road in front of the proposed parking would be considered acceptable in this instance. It is also noted that there is access to public transport nearby.

Statement in accordance with Article 35(2) Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2015

The proposal complies with the development plan and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. It therefore comprises sustainable development and the Local Planning Authority worked proactively and positively to issue the decision without delay. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 Town & Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2 This decision relates to drawings numbered ZT22-196-00, ZT22-196-01, ZT22-196-02, ZT22-196-03 and ZT22-196-04 and the development shall not be carried out except in accordance with the drawings hereby approved.
Reason. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of design pursuant to the policies of the Bury UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the guidance in Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.
- 3 The external finishing materials for the proposal hereby approved shall match those of the existing building.
Reason. In the interests of visual amenity and to ensure a satisfactory development pursuant to Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

DELEGATED REPORT

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
AU - 30/05/2022			