



30th April 2026

Re: 23036 STAND GOLF CLUB – BALL STRIKE (HOLE 14)

There were considerations given to the ball strike issue in the concept design for the golf hole.

1. The residential component is to the left which is overwhelmingly considered to be the safer side when golfer's strike badly. Many more miss shots and the target to the right.
2. The residential component is on higher terrain than the target green generally considered safer and in combination with the short hole design unlikely to be an issue.
3. The centre line for the golf hole – considered as the starting point for design – is offset parallel at 47m to the nearest point of the residential line which is considered to be generously safe and then opposite the green the residential boundary is even further to the left and therefore more generous.
4. A 96m diameter circle can be placed over the centre of the green before it touches the nearest residential boundary. An angle of miss of 20 degrees from the centre line to the nearest residential boundary is also very generous.
5. The golf hole is a par three of 162 yards from the back tee which is considered a medium short par three hole. Most wide misses occur when the golfer requires a longer shot playing with a driver club or generally longer club on a par four or par five golf hole.

Except for reasons of malice and deliberate hit I do not believe golf balls would enter the residential area.

I can with some conviction state that the design and layout of this hole in relation to the residential boundary presents the most minor of safety concerns and would be considered safe by golf course consultants.

For and on behalf of

Paul Thomas
Managing Director

Dave Thomas Limited
Golf Course Design Consultants

Philip House, 6 Stamford Road, Bowdon, Altrincham, Cheshire WA14 2JU, UK
Tel: +44 (0)161 929 8600 Fax: +44 (0)161 929 5060 Email: design@dtlgolf.com Web: www.dtlgolf.com
Reg. No.611518 England