

Description

The property is a detached house on a residential estate. It has drive to the front and a garden to the rear. On either side, the property borders No. 22 and No.26 Wadebridge Drive and the rear garden of No.17 Bourneville Drive to the rear.

Planning permission is sought for a single storey rear extension and a loft conversion with rear dormer. The single storey extension would project to the rear, measuring approximately 4 metres from the principle rear elevation and run the entire width of the dwelling. The proposed rear dormer would be built on the existing footprint of the roof and would accommodate additional bedroom with ensuite bathroom. The single storey extension would facilitate a kitchen extension and a new sitting room. The development would have a flat roof over the proposed dormer and a mono-pitched roof with rooflights over the single storey extension. The proposal would also utilise the use of matching materials.

Relevant Planning History

None.

Publicity

Neighbour letters sent. No objections received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

N/A

Pre-start Conditions

N/A

Unitary Development Plan and Policies

<SQLDCPolicyComRep>

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP) together with other relevant material planning considerations. The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP Policy will be referred to in the report, unless there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;

The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

Visual Amenity

UDP Policy H2/3 and SPD6 seeks to achieve a high standard of design that compliments the original building, does not have a detrimental impact on the character or appearance of neighbouring properties, and protects the general street scene.

The proposed dormer at the rear would be a simple and unobtrusive design, which would not detract from the character of the dwelling. The design would not over dominate the character of the original dwelling and it should also be noted that this dormer could be built as Permitted Development. The proposed extension would be constructed with materials to match the existing dwelling. As such, the proposed development would not be a prominent feature in the street scene and would comply with Policies H2/3 of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and SPD6

The single storey extension would be built on the rear elevation of the property and as such would not be visible from the street scene at the front. It is considered that the proposal would not be intrusive feature within the street scene or to the visual amenity of the area.

The Council policy in H2/3 and SPD6 considers that development management is keen to ensure that enough private garden space is left after any extensions in order to avoid over-development of the site. Any proposals should not therefore significantly reduce the amount of usable amenity space for the property to an unacceptable degree. Whilst the proposed development would reduce the private amenity space, it is considered that this space would be sufficient, and would be a suitable or usable amenity space for the property.

It is therefore considered that the proposed extension would not lead to an insufficient amount of usable amenity space, and would therefore not be detrimental to the character of the street scene and as such would comply with UDP Policy H2/3 and SPD 6.

Residential Amenity

SPD 6 and H2/3 seek to reduce the impact of proposals on the residential amenity of neighbouring properties.

- In terms of overlooking and privacy, there would be no proposed side windows on

either side facing the adjacent neighbours.

- SPD states that a single storey extension should not project more than 3m along a neighbouring boundary. At which point the 45° rule would apply. However, taking in to account the Larger Homes Scheme and that the adjacent neighbours have not objected the projection of 4 metres along the shared boundary would be acceptable.
- The proposed dormer extension would be built entirely on the existing footprint of the property. The proposed dormer would not have windows to the sides. Furthermore, number of properties within this street have similar development approved. Therefore, the proposed rear dormer extension would be acceptable and comply with UDP Policy H2/3 and associated guidance in SPD6.

Overall, there would be no serious impact on amenities of the adjacent neighbouring properties. The proposal therefore conforms to UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the requirements of Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

Highways

The proposal will result in one additional bedroom and there will be no changes to the parking layout. Therefore, the driveway at its current condition would meet the maximum parking requirements.