

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Sam Summers	Date of report: 31/01/2025	Ref: 71377/LDCP
Registration: 25/11/2024	LDFC:	Expiry Date: 20/01/2025
Site Visit:	Site Notice: N/A	Press Date: N/A
Objections:	Con Area: N/A	Adv Type: N/A
Reason for Delay: N/A		EIA: NA
		Dec Level: DEL
		Stat Class: NREQ

Proposal: Lawful development certificate for change of use from residential (Class C3) to childrens residential care for two children with up to four staff working on a rota basis (Class C2)

Location: 4 Valley Close, Bury, BL8 1WP

Description

Lawful development certificate for change of use from residential (Class C3) to childrens residential care for two children with up to four staff working on a rota basis (Class C2). The care staff would work on a rota basis and would not be permanently resident. The agent for the application has confirmed that typically there will only be two carers on site at a time, with the exception of a short handover. Effectively, therefore, the property will typically be occupied as a single household with 2 adults and up to two young people.

The proposal relates to a three bedroom two storey detached dwelling in a residential area. It has a small garden area and driveway at front and a large garden at rear.

Relevant Planning History

None

Publicity

None - No publicity is required on lawful development certificates as they are a process to confirm whether planning permission would be required for a proposal and are determined on planning law.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

None

Pre-start Conditions - None

Development Plan and Policies

Issues and Analysis

Applications for lawful development must be determined by planning law, fact and any other relevant judicial authority. In this case the planning law is the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and the Use Classes Order 1987. The relevant judicial authority in this case is the judgement in North Devon District Council v. First Secretary of State [2003] EWHC 157 (Admin).

Use class confirmation

The first thing to consider is whether a change of use would take place and what the proposed use class would be.

In the case that was subject of the appeal (North Devon) S Ltd the proposal was to use a house to provide residential care for two children. The house comprised a small downstairs office, individual bedrooms, and the usual kitchen and bathroom facilities. Two non-resident staff were on duty at all times and the house was under the supervision of a team of six or seven adult carers, who attended on a rota basis. A question arose over whether the use required planning permission, and S Ltd applied, under section 191 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, for a certificate of lawful use. This was refused by the local planning authority. On appeal, the inspector concluded that S Ltd was entitled to a certificate of lawful use because no material change of use had occurred. The council appealed that decision. In issue was the meaning of Classes C2 and C3 of the Use Classes Order 1987.

In North Devon it was ruled that for up to 6 persons living as a single household where care is provided caring staff must be permanently resident. It says:

"The concept of living together as a household presupposes a proper functioning household. In the context of a case such as this, that requires the children and a carer to reside in the premises, otherwise the use clearly falls within Class C2. It is apparent that the size of the institution is irrelevant for the purposes of C2, but if it falls within that definition, it is not to be regarded as a dwellinghouse. The inspector had been wrong to regard the use in this case as falling within C3(b) rather than C2. Class C3 does require at least one carer, together with those being cared for, to reside at the premises. The inspector had correctly decided that no material change of use had taken place. The use for a maximum of two children, with care provided by up to two non-resident staff at these premises, and only at these premises, was, in the circumstances, a lawful use".

In this application for lawful development the proposal for carers to be non-resident, in accordance with regard to the judicial authority, places the proposed use within Use Class C2 - Care Homes.

Material Change of Use

The second question in this application is whether or not the change of use constitutes a material change of use within the meaning of Section 55 of The Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

The applicant seeks consent for a LDC for a proposed residential care home, it is for the local planning authority to consider the nature of the proposed use (up to 4 adult carers and 2 children) and whether this is 'materially' different to the use of the property as a typical dwellinghouse (Use Class C3 (a)).

It is important to consider whether a 'material' change of use would occur in accordance with the principles established in the North Devon judgment. Supporting this approach, an inspector issued a LDC for the use of a house in Leicestershire as a children's home (appeal reference APP/K2420/X/11/2155849), finding that although the proposal would involve a change from C3 to C2 use, no material change of use would occur. The parties agreed that the lawful use was as a dwelling house, thus falling in Use Class C3 of the Use Classes Order.

It was proposed to operate it as a care home for children and young people between the ages of 9 and 17 with learning disabilities. The home would provide care for up to three children, administered by non-resident care staff working in shifts. The Inspector noted that the North Devon judgment provided the authority with the view that use as a care home for children, where carers were non-resident, fell within Use Class C2. He then considered whether the change of use was material. In refusing a LDC, staff numbers were cited by the Council as the sole cause for concern in this judgment. However, having looked at the numbers involved; the Inspector concluded that they were not materially different from what might be expected of a large family house. On this basis, he concluded that the proposed

DELEGATED REPORT

use would not, as a use within Use Class C2, amount to a material change of use from Use Class C3 as a dwelling house. The change of use would not require planning permission and the Council's decision to refuse to grant an LDC was not well-founded.

In light of the case law detailed above, each application should be determined on its own merits in determining whether there would be a material change of use from that of a dwelling house (Use Class C3) to that of a residential institution (Use Class C2). If it is decided that a change of use had taken place, it is important to establish if this is material.

The judgement reiterated that case law had established that whether or not a material change of use had taken place was a question of fact and degree. The residing of up to 2 children up to the age of 18 with up to a maximum of 4 carers on a rota basis (typically 2 present at once), no more than 6 people in total, is considered not to constitute a material change of use after taking into account the following factors. The size of the household of 2 children and typically 2 adults would not go beyond what would be expected of a typical family unit in a three bed dwelling house. Each child would have their own bedroom, whilst the carers would share a third bedroom, and will share all other domestic facilities within the property such as the bathroom, kitchen, lounge and garden. There is a drive at the front of the property which offers a parking space, there is also plenty of on street parking available near the property. Aside from a short handover, and occasional visits by management, the only trips to and from the property during the daily routine would be trips of a domestic nature and purpose that a family household typically make. The frequency of visits in total would be akin to what would be expected to occur in relation to the operation of a typical family home. The agent for the application has confirmed that no outside agencies would visit the property regularly.

Therefore whilst the proposal would result in a change of use from Use Class C3 to Use Class C2, it is considered that there would be no material change of use in this case for the reasons detailed above and as such planning permission is not required.

Recommendation: LAWFUL

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The proposal, described in the First Schedule, is not deemed to constitute development within the meaning of Section 55 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) as the proposed change of use of 4 Valley Close, Bury, BL8 1WP to a residential children's home for up to two children with up to four staff working on a rota basis (Class C2) and operating in full accordance with the details set out within the applicants supporting statement submitted 26th November 2024, would not represent a material change of use from the existing Class C3 dwellinghouse use, and would therefore be Lawful Development.

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
SS	31/01/2025	DM	31/01/25