

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Tom Beirne	Date of report: 17/06/2026	Ref: 73126/FUL
Registration: 24/04/2026	LDFC: 29/05/2026	Expiry Date: 19/06/2026
Site Visit: 09/06/2026	Site Notice: N/A	Press Date: N/A
Objections: 0	Con Area:	Adv Type: N/A
Reason for Delay: N/A		EIA: NA
		Dec Level: DEL
		Stat Class: HOUS

Proposal: Single storey side and rear extension and loft conversion with rear dormer

Location: 6 Carlton Avenue, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 0EB

Description

The application relates to a two storey semi-detached house on a road of similar styled properties. Red brick and rendered finish with a detached garage at the side/rear. There is an existing bedroom window in the side gable. The attached neighbour (No.8) to the west has been extended to the side and has a box dormer on the rear. The unattached neighbour (No.4) has not been extended.

The existing garage would be demolished and the proposed single storey rear extension would be flat roofed with a roof lantern. It would project out 4.5m, run across the rear and wrap around the side to a midway point down the side gable. It would be finished in red brick and have two obscure glazed side store/utility windows.

The proposed box dormer would run across the rear elevation. It would be set approximately 800mm down from the main ridge and set back 200mm from the eaves and in at the sides. It would be finished in grey tiles to match the main roof.

Relevant Planning History

N/A

Publicity

Immediate neighbours notified by letter dated 06/05/2026. No objections received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

N/A

Pre-start Conditions - N/A

Development Plan and Policies

H2/3 Extensions and Alterations
SPD6 Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), the adopted Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan Document (PfE) and the saved policies within the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP), together with other relevant material planning considerations.

The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For

simplicity, just the UDP and PFE Policies will be referred to in the report, unless there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;

The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

Visual amenity - The extensions are relatively modest in scale and are considered to be in keeping with the existing house. Finished in materials to match the house, the extensions would not appear out of keeping with the wider streetscape. The proposal is acceptable and complies with UDP Policy H2/3 and guidance within SPD6 relating to extensions and alterations.

Residential amenity - Given the modest scale of development and relationship to neighbours, there are no residential amenity issues arising. It is noted there are no objections from neighbours. The proposal is acceptable and complies with UDP Policy H2/3 and guidance within SPD6 relating to extensions and alterations.

Parking and Access - There would be sufficient space on the driveway for two cars and this is considered acceptable and policy compliant.

Statement in accordance with Article 35(2) Town and Country Planning (Development

Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2015

The proposal complies with the development plan and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. It therefore comprises sustainable development and the Local Planning Authority worked proactively and positively to issue the decision without delay. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 Town & Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2 This decision relates to drawings numbered 001, 002 and 003/1 and the development shall not be carried out except in accordance with the drawings hereby approved.
Reason. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of design pursuant to the policies of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan listed.
- 3 The external finishing materials for the proposal hereby approved shall match those of the existing building.
Reason. In the interests of visual amenity and to ensure a satisfactory development pursuant to Policy EN1/2 - Townscape and Built Design of Bury Unitary Development Plan.
- 4 Before the first occupation of the extension hereby permitted the two ground floor side windows shall be fitted with obscured glazing and shall be permanently retained in that condition thereafter.
Reason. To protect the privacy of adjoining occupiers and to accord with Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
Tom Beirne	17/06/2026	DM	16.06.26