

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Amanda

Date of report: 16/01/2026

Ref:72623/FUL

Uhunmwagho

Registration: 03/12/2025

LDfC: 02/01/2026

Expiry Date: 28/01/2026

Site Visit:

Site Notice: N/A

Press Date: N/A

Objections: 0

Con Area: N/A

Adv Type: NONE

Reason for Delay:

EIA: NA

N/A

Dec Level: DEL

Stat Class: HOUS

Proposal: Garage Conversion with Single Storey Side/Rear Extension

Location: 30 Oakwell Drive, Bury, BL9 8LB

Description

The application relates to a detached bungalow with an attached garage to the side. There is an open plan front garden with driveway adjacent. At the rear there is a private garden with fences and planting to all boundaries.

Planning permission was granted for a similar development in October 2025. The current application has been submitted as the applicant now wishes to build a larger extension.

The proposal is to build a single storey extension to the rear of the garage and convert the garage into a store, wc and utility room. The extension would measure 3287mm wide x 5300mm long and the roof would be pitched. At the rear there would be patio doors and two rooflights to the extension. The extension would extend the existing kitchen and internally an existing bedroom would be converted into a walk in wardrobe.

Relevant Planning History

72330 - Garage conversion with single storey side/rear extension - Approve with Conditions 21/10/2025.

Publicity

4 neighbours notified on 09/12/2025 - No comments or objections received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

None.

Pre-start Conditions - Not relevant.

Development Plan and Policies

SPD6 Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions

H2/3 Extensions and Alterations

PFE Places for Everyone

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), the adopted Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan Document (PfE) and the saved policies within the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP), together with other relevant material planning considerations.

The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP and PfE Policies will be referred to in the report, unless there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;

The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

The main considerations of this application are the impact of the proposal on visual and residential amenity.

Visual Amenity -

SPD 6 and UDP Policy H2/3 seek to achieve a high standard of design that compliments the original building, and does not have a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of neighbouring properties and the general street scene.

There would be no changes to the front elevation of the property and as the extension would be to the rear of the garage conversion it would not be openly visible in the streetscene. The proposed finished materials and the design would be considered to be in keeping with the existing building.

Given the above the proposal conforms to Bury UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and

Alterations and the guidance in Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties in terms of visual amenity, and Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan listed.

Residential Amenity -

- There would be no change to the front elevation. As such there would be no detrimental impact on the existing parking arrangements within the site.
- The existing garage would be converted into a store at the front (retaining the garage door at the front) with a utility room and wc to the rear. There would be a new window installed in the side elevation. As there are no windows to the side of no.28 next door and the utility room is not a habitable room the position of this window would be considered to be acceptable.
- There would be no windows to the side of the extension facing no.28 and the drawings show that all of the development would be within the site curtilage.
- The distance from the rear of the extension to the rear boundary would be acceptable in terms of SPD 6.
- As the development would be on the north side of the dwelling there would be no significant detrimental impact on residential amenity at no.32 next door.
- The proposed extension would be approximately 1.58m longer than the one previously approved in October 2025. Given that there are no habitable room windows to the side of no.28 next door the proposed extension would not have any significant detrimental impact on residential amenity at no.28 next door.

The proposal conforms to UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the requirements of Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties in terms of separation distance to the surrounding properties.

Parking -

The proposal would decrease the number of bedrooms from 3 to 2 therefore SPD 11 Parking Standards need not be considered. The parking arrangements would remain as existing where there is parking to the front of the site.

Statement in accordance with Article 35(2) Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2015

The proposal complies with the development plan and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. It therefore comprises sustainable development and the Local Planning Authority worked proactively and positively to issue the decision without delay. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 Town & Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2 This decision relates to drawings numbered TDS-25-196-01, TDS-25-196-02, TDS-25-196-03 and TDS-25-196-04 and the development shall not be carried out except in accordance with the drawings hereby approved.
Reason. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of design pursuant to the policies of the Bury UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the guidance in Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties in terms of visual amenity, and Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan listed.

DELEGATED REPORT

- 3 The external finishing materials for the proposal hereby approved shall match those of the existing building.

Reason. In the interests of visual amenity and to ensure a satisfactory development pursuant to Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
AU -	16/01/2026	DM	19/01/26