

Planning Statement and Green Belt Justification

Adaptations to and construction of a First Floor Side Extension at **7 Crossfield Avenue, Summerseat, BL95NX**

on behalf of :

Mr Perry

January 2024

Contents

1. Introduction	
2. The application proposal	
3. National and local planning policy	
4. The Applicants' case and Green Belt Justification	
5. Summary and conclusion	

1. Introduction

1.1 This Planning and Green Belt Justification Statement has been produced to support an application for planning permission for the construction of a First Floor Side Extension including dormer window with lead flashing ("the Application Proposals") for Mr Perry ("the Applicant") who lives at 7 Crosfield Avenue, Bury

1.2 This Statement has been prepared by Zach Thorp of Thorp Design Services.

1.3 This Statement has been prepared pursuant to s.62 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) and Article 4C of the General Development Procedure Order 1995 (as amended), as informed by Circular 01/2006 and CABE Guidance issued in 2006.

2. The Application Proposal

2.1 The Application Proposals are Shown in more detail on the drawings.; they include construction of a first floor extension to compromise an additional bedroom and rebuild of old garage.

2.2 The size of the existing property is 252.8m³

2.3 The size of the existing extensions are 0m³

2.4 The size to be removed in proposal is 44m³

2.5 The proposal is 128.7m³.

2.6 Difference between existing and proposed is 131.7m³

3. National, regional and local planning policy National Planning Policy

3.1 National Planning Policy Framework . Paragraph 145 of the NPPF regards the construction of new buildings in the Green Belt as inappropriate development.

Exceptions to this are:

- a) buildings for agriculture and forestry;
- b) provision for appropriate facilities (in connection with the existing use of land or a change of use) for outdoor sport and recreation;
- c) extension or alteration of a building providing it does not result in disproportionate additions over and above the size of the original building;
- d) replacement of a building, providing the new building is in the same use and not materially larger than the one it replaces;
- e) limited infilling in villages;
- f) limited affordable housing for community needs under policies set out in the development plan (including policies for rural exceptions sites); and
- g) limited infilling or the partial or complete redevelopment of previously developed land, whether redundant or in continuing use excluding temporary buildings) which would
 - not have a greater impact on the openness of the Green Belt than the existing development; or
 - not cause substantial harm to the openness of the Green belt, where the development would re-use previously developed land and contribute to meeting an identified affordable housing need with in the area of the local planning authority.

3.2 The application falls under exception c).. Please see below Size:

- Size of existing house – 252.8m³
- Size of proposal extension – 131.7m³

4. The Applicant's case and Green Belt Justification

4.1 The Application Proposals are not inappropriate developments at an increased % size of 33.5% on the existing property size.

4.2 The land that the property is sat in has a large area as such an extension on top of the existing building (Which is to be rebuilt) in my professional opinion would be satisfactory.

Thorp Design Services
thorpdesignservices@gmail.com

4.3 The extension works will be constructed out of materials which will be different to the original and will highlight the existing building to the proposed. The extension is a First Floor Side Extension so will not impact on the openness of the green belt.

5. Summary and Conclusion

5.1 The Application Proposals respect relevant national and local planning policies. In terms of design, they reflect the very best, and it causes no harm.

5.2 The design is not extending the footprint or building out into a greenbelt as its already within a housing area as such is not adding to urban sprawl.

5.3 The upper extension is to the side of the property in a none prominent location and the build is not to be forward of the principle elevation line.

5.4 Planning permission should therefore be granted, subject to appropriate conditions