

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Jane Langan	Date of report: 21/01/2026	Ref: 72660/FUL
Registration: 16/12/2025	LDFC: 16/01/2026	Expiry Date: 10/02/2026
Site Visit: 08/01/2026	Site Notice: N/A	Press Date: N/A
Objections: 0	Con Area: N/A	Adv Type: NONE
Reason for Delay: N/A		EIA: NA
		Dec Level: DEL
		Stat Class: HOUS

Proposal: Demolition of front porch; Single storey front extension

Location: 1 Butt Hill Drive, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 9PL

Description

The site is a large brick built detached house and has been previously extended. A low brick wall runs along the front boundary and there is a wide drive and garden to the front of the property.

The application proposes to remove the existing front porch and construct a single storey front extension. It would infill an area where the original porch was located and adjacent a single storey section to the front. It would project 2.1m deep at each side and with steps down to the front drive. The extension would form a hall with wc and shower room. The plans also note some area of render to the new extension and areas of the frontage.

Relevant Planning History

45127 - Two storey extension at rear & front - Refused 17/10/2005
46122 - Two storey extensions at front & rear (Resubmission) - Refused 19/05/2006
48222 - Single storey extension at front - AC 30/07/2007
48223 - Single storey extension at rear - AC 30/07/2007
54280 - Single storey and two storey extensions at front - AC 20/09/2011
54779 - Non material amendment following granting of permission for planning application (54280) to amend the roof on top of front door from an arch to a peak. - A 18/01/2012

Publicity

Surrounding neighbours were notified by letter and no responses have been received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

None.

Pre-start Conditions - Not relevant.

Development Plan and Policies

H2/3	Extensions and Alterations
SPD6	Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions
PFE	Places for Everyone

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), the adopted Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan Document (PfE) and the saved policies within the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP), together with other relevant material planning considerations.

The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP and PpE Policies will be referred to in the report, unless there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;

The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

Visual amenity and design - The proposed extension would have a flat roof with matching brick corbelling, stone capping and an arched brickwork entrance. The walls would be finished in light grey/off white render. The areas of the front elevation of the main house, set back from the front gables, would also be rendered to unify mismatched and damaged brick. The steps down from the extension would have a wrought iron handrail each side.

The proposal is considered acceptable and in keeping with the existing property and would not have a detrimental impact on the visual amenity of the area.

Parking - The proposal would not increase the number of bedrooms therefore, in this respect, SPD 11 Parking Standards need not be considered. The proposal would be part built on an area that can currently be used for parking however the site has a wide area hardsurfaced and there is a 15m drive to the east side of the property. As such the parking arrangements would be sufficient.

Residential amenity - The proposed extension would infill an L shaped area to the existing frontage and the adjacent neighbouring properties are not be located in close enough proximity for there to be any serious impact on their amenity.

The proposal complies with UDP Policy H2/3 and SPD6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

Statement in accordance with Article 35(2) Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2015

The proposal complies with the development plan and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. It therefore comprises sustainable development and the Local Planning Authority worked proactively and positively to issue the decision without delay. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 Town & Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2 This decision relates to drawings numbered A1.1 PL1, A1.2 PL1 and the development shall not be carried out except in accordance with the drawings hereby approved.
Reason. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of design pursuant to the policies of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan listed.

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
JL	21/01/26	DM	23/01/2026