

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Amanda

Date of report: 29/12/2022

Ref:68977/FUL

Uhunmwagho

Registration: 11/10/2022

LDfC: 23/11/2022

Expiry Date: 06/12/2022

Site Visit: 15/11/2022

Site Notice: N/A

Press Date: N/A

Objections: 0

Con Area: N/A

Adv Type: NONE

Reason for Delay:

EIA: NA

N/A

Dec Level: DEL

Stat Class: HOUS

Proposal: Two storey rear extension and First floor front extension

Location: 10 Rose Grove, Bury, BL8 2UJ

Description

The application relates to a two storey detached property with a small area of lawn to the front and driveway for two vehicles. At the rear there is a private garden with fences to all boundaries. There is an area of decking directly to the rear of the house with the rest of the garden lawned.

The proposal is to build a first floor extension to the front of the property and a two storey rear extension. The front extension would be built directly above the existing single storey section projecting 2.67m forward of the main front elevation and measuring 4.57m wide. The roof would be pitched.

At the rear the extension would project 3.93m at ground floor level and 1.4m at first floor. The extension would extend across the whole width of the existing dwelling. At ground floor level the roof would be mono-pitched with three rooflights and the two storey section would have a pitched roof.

Relevant Planning History

N/A

Publicity

Neighbours notified on 01/11/2022 - No comments or objections received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

None

Pre-start Conditions - Not relevant.

Unitary Development Plan and Policies

SPD6 Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions

H2/3 Extensions and Alterations

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP) together with other relevant material planning considerations. The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP Policy will be referred to in the report, unless

there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;

The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

Visual Amenity -

SPD 6 and UDP Policy H2/3 seek to achieve a high standard of design that compliments the original building, and does not have a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of neighbouring properties and the general street scene.

Both the front and rear extensions would be built with materials and a design that would be considered to be in keeping with the existing building, as well as with other properties along Rose Grove. As such there would be no significant detrimental effect on the existing character of the streetscene.

Given the above the proposal conforms to Bury UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the guidance in Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties in terms of visual amenity.

Residential Amenity -

- Properties to the front and rear of the site would be a sufficient distance from the extended property not to have any significant detrimental effect on residential amenity

at these properties.

- There would be over 7m from the rear elevation of the two storey extension to the rear boundary which would be in line with requirements as set out in SPD 6.
- The proposed development would not include any new windows to the south side elevation and to the north the existing en-suite window would be repositioned the side. As this window would be obscurely glazed there would be no concern regards the impact on privacy levels at no.8 next door..
- At the rear the two storey element would remain set back from the rear elevation of no.12 to the south of site and the 45° rule would be satisfied with regards to no.8 to the north.
- The single storey element to the rear extension would project less than 3m beyond the rear elevations of both adjacent properties which would be acceptable in terms of SPD 6.
- At the front the first floor extension would be less than 7m to the front boundary but as the new first floor window would overlook the highway and not directly over another property or garden this distance would be acceptable in this instance.

The proposal conforms to UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the requirements of Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties in terms of separation distance to the surrounding properties.

Parking -

The proposal would not increase the number of bedrooms therefore SPD 11 Parking Standards need not be considered. The parking arrangements would remain as existing where there is parking to the driveway at the front.

Statement in accordance with Article 35(2) Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2015

The proposal complies with the development plan and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. It therefore comprises sustainable development and the Local Planning Authority worked proactively and positively to issue the decision without delay. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 Town & Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2 This decision relates to drawings numbered ZT22-196-00, ZT22-196-01, ZT22-196-02, ZT22-196-03 and ZT22-196-04 and the development shall not be carried out except in accordance with the drawings hereby approved.
Reason. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of design pursuant to the policies of the Bury UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the guidance in Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.
- 3 The external finishing materials for the proposal hereby approved shall match those of the existing building.
Reason. In the interests of visual amenity and to ensure a satisfactory development pursuant to Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

DELEGATED REPORT

- 4 Before the first occupation of the extension hereby permitted the repositioned first floor en-suite window on the north side elevation shall be fitted with obscured glazing and shall be permanently retained in that condition thereafter.
Reason. To protect the privacy of adjoining occupiers and to accord with Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
AU - 29/12/2022			