

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Tom Beirne	Date of report: 28/05/2026	Ref: 73077/FUL
Registration: 27/04/2026	LDfC: 22/05/2026	Expiry Date: 22/06/2026
Site Visit: 27/05/2026	Site Notice: N/A	Press Date: N/A
Objections: 0	Con Area: N/A	Adv Type: N/A
Reason for Delay: N/A		EIA: NA
		Dec Level: DEL
		Stat Class: HOUS

Proposal: Two/single storey extension at side/rear with associated landscaping

Location: 11 Nursery Road, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 3EP

Description

The property relates to a bay fronted, brick and render, semi detached house on a corner plot which is located in a predominantly residential area. There is an existing single storey wrap-around extension at the side/rear. To the rear the property has a detached garage beyond which is the property behind, No 4 Birch Grove. The attached neighbour (No.13) has a single storey rear extension.

The application involves demolishing the existing single storey wrap-around outrigger and adding a two storey side extension running 8m front to rear. A single storey rear elevation would project out 4.3m and leave a gap of 5m to the shared side boundary with the attached neighbour. The two storey extension would have a pitched roof carried over onto the main roof and the single storey rear extension would have a mono-pitched roof. The extensions would be rendered with blue/grey slate tiles to match the existing house. The extension would accommodate a bedroom at first floor with additional family space below.

Relevant Planning History

52864 - Extension to rear. - Approve with Conditions 17/08/2010

58087 - Single storey extension at side - Approve with Conditions 13/11/2014

Publicity

Immediate neighbours notified by letter dated 29/04/2026. No objections received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

N/A

Pre-start Conditions - N/A

Development Plan and Policies

H2/3 Extensions and Alterations

SPD6 Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), the adopted Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan Document (PfE) and the saved policies within the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP), together with other relevant material planning considerations.

The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to

be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP and PfE Policies will be referred to in the report, unless there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;

The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

Visual amenity - In terms of design and massing, the extensions would be in keeping with the house and would not appear incongruous within the streetscape. Maintaining a gap of 3.5m to the side boundary would be reduce its dominance on the corner plot, in line with guidance as well as allowing appropriate parking. The render and slate finish would be acceptable and in keeping.

The proposal is considered to be acceptable in terms of visual amenity and complies with UDP Policy H2/3 and associated guidance in SPD6 relating to extensions and alterations.

Residential amenity - The rear extension is set well off the shared side boundaries with the neighbours to side and rear and has no serious impact. Given the relationship to immediate neighbours to the side and rear, there are serious residential amenity issues arising. Complies with UDP Policy H2/3 and associated guidance in SPD6 relating to extensions and alterations.

Parking and access - The existing access point from Nursery Road would be retained and there would be space for three cars on the frontage. This is acceptable and complies with UDP Policy H2/3 and guidance in SPD6 Extensions and Alterations.

Statement in accordance with Article 35(2) Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2015

The proposal complies with the development plan and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. It therefore comprises sustainable development and the Local Planning Authority worked proactively and positively to issue the decision without delay. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 Town & Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2 This decision relates to drawings numbered 01,02, 03, 04, 05, 10, 11, 12 and 13 and the development shall not be carried out except in accordance with the drawings hereby approved.
Reason. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of design pursuant to the policies of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan listed.

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
Tom Beirne	28/05/2026	DM	29.05.2026