

NOTICE OF REFUSAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION

Town and Country Planning Act 1990 Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015

To:

Ryan Cunniff
RPC Architectural Design Ltd
39 Skylark Close
Lostock
Bolton
BL6 4GQ

On Behalf Of:

Mr Rahees Hussain
37 Coniston Grove
Bradford
BD9 5HN

PART 1 - Particulars of Application

Application Number: 68589

Date of Registration: 25/07/2022

Proposal:

Single/two storey side extension; Two storey rear extension over existing single storey rear with loft conversion; Associated roof alterations

Location:

60 Meade Hill Road, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 0DJ

PART 2 - Particulars of Decision

The Bury Metropolitan Borough Council hereby give notice in pursuance of the provisions of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 that permission has been **REFUSED** for the carrying out of the development referred to in Part 1 hereof for the following reasons:-

- 1 The design of the proposed extension is neither appropriate to, nor sympathetic with the existing structure, and would be seriously detrimental to the visual amenity of the area. The proposed development therefore conflicts with the Bury UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the guidance in Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.
- 2 The proposed development would be seriously detrimental to the amenities of the adjacent properties and gardens by reason of its height, size and position. The proposed development therefore conflicts with the following policy of the Bury Unitary Development Plan: H2/3 – Alterations and Extensions and associated Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

Statement in accordance with Article 35(2) Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2015

The proposal would not improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area nor does it comply with the development plan and therefore does not comprise sustainable development. There were no amendments to the scheme, or conditions which could reasonably have been imposed, which could have made the development acceptable and it was therefore not possible to approve the application. The Local Planning Authority

has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

The following policies of the Bury Unitary Development Plan, National and Regional Government were considered relevant:

SPD6 Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.
H2/3 H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations

This decision relates only to the Town and Country Planning Acts and does not include any consent or approval under any other enactment or under the Building Regulations. Any other consent or approval which is necessary must be obtained from the appropriate authority.

Applicant Plan Numbers:

drawing numbers 01, 201117/01, 201117/02 and 201117/03

NOTES TO APPLICANT

Not Applicable

Signed:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J. H. H.', is written over a dotted line.

2 of 2

.....
On behalf of the Council

Date of Decision: 10/10/2022