

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Helen Pressley	Date of report: 08/06/2026	Ref: 73174/FUL
Registration: 07/05/2026	LDFC: 05/06/2026	Expiry Date: 02/07/2026
Site Visit: 29/05/2026	Site Notice: N/A	Press Date: N/A
Objections: 0	Con Area:	Adv Type: N/A
Reason for Delay: N/A		EIA: NA
		Dec Level: DEL
		Stat Class: HOUS

Proposal: Single storey rear extension with roof lantern.

Location: 109 Ostrich Lane, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 1DQ

Description

The application relates to a terraced property located on Ostrich Lane. The property has a paved frontage, with private amenity space located to the rear. The amenity space to the rear backs onto an unadopted track that runs along the rear of the terrace. The premises has an existing single storey additions to the rear.

Planning permission is sought for the removal of the existing rear additions, and construction of a single storey rear extension that projects approximately 4000mm from the rear of the original property.

Relevant Planning History

None

Publicity

Letters sent to neighbouring properties 14/05/2026

No representations received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

N/A

Pre-start Conditions - Not relevant

Development Plan and Policies

H2/3 Extensions and Alterations
SPD6 Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), the adopted Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan Document (PfE) and the saved policies within the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP), together with other relevant material planning considerations.

The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP and PfE Policies will be referred to in the report, unless there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;

The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

Visual Amenity

SPD 6 and UDP Policy H2/3 seek to achieve a high standard of design that compliments the original building, and does not have a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of neighbouring properties and the general street scene.

The proposed extension would have a flat roof, with central lantern detail. Whilst flat roofs are not appropriate in prominent positions, given that visibility of the rear of the dwelling would be limited to views from an unadopted track that runs along the rear of the site no objections are raised to this design element. The proposed rear glazing is considered to be suitably aligned and the use of render to match the existing property is considered to be acceptable.

It is considered that the proposed rear extension would not overwhelm the dwelling or result in over development of the associated residential amenity space.

Given the above, it is considered that the proposal would not have a detrimental impact on the character of the dwelling or the streetscene and would therefore comply with UDP Policy H2/3 and SPD6.

Residential Amenity

DELEGATED REPORT

SPD 6 and H2/3 seek to reduce the impact of proposals on the residential amenity of neighbouring properties.

- No side windows proposed.
- Proposed rear window would face unadopted track rather than directly facing residential properties.
- Proposed extension would project more than 3 metres beyond the rear of No. 111 and No 107 however no objections have been received in relation to the projection of the extension. Given that the proposed projecting falls within the limits of a larger homes, prior approval extension and no objections have been received it is considered that this projection would be acceptable.

Statement in accordance with Article 35(2) Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2015

The proposal complies with the development plan and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. It therefore comprises sustainable development and the Local Planning Authority worked proactively and positively to issue the decision without delay. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 Town & Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2 This decision relates to drawings numbered PL_001, PL_002, PL003, PL004, PL005, PL006 and the development shall not be carried out except in accordance with the drawings hereby approved.
Reason. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of design pursuant to the policies of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan listed.
- 3 The external finishing materials for the proposal hereby approved shall match those of the existing building.
Reason. In the interests of visual amenity and to ensure a satisfactory development pursuant to Policy EN1/2 - Townscape and Built Design of Bury Unitary Development Plan.

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
HP 08/06/2026		DM 12.06.2026	