

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Amanda

Date of report: 27/10/2022

Ref:68807/FUL

Uhunmwagho

Registration: 22/08/2022

LDfC: 05/10/2022

Expiry Date: 17/10/2022

Site Visit: 04/10/2022

Site Notice: N/A

Press Date: N/A

Objections: 0

Con Area: N/A

Adv Type: NONE

Reason for Delay:

EIA: NA

N/A

Dec Level: DEL

Stat Class: HOUS

Proposal: Replace existing conservatory with single storey rear extension; Replace flat roof to front porch with a pitched roof

Location: 3 Anfield Close, Bury, BL9 8JZ

Description

The application relates to a detached bungalow with an open plan front garden and a more private garden to the rear. To the side of the dwelling there is a canopy linked to no.1 next door with a garage style door. This leads in to a small yard/drive area in front of the garage towards the rear of the property. At the rear of the house there is a conservatory.

The proposal is to remove the existing conservatory and build a single storey extension in its place. The extension would project 4.49m from the original rear elevation and would measure 6.7m wide. The roof would be pitched and there would be windows to the west and south elevations only.

The applicant also proposes to replace the flat roof of the existing porch to the front of the property with a pitched roof. There would be no other changes to the existing porch.

Relevant Planning History

N/A

Publicity

Neighbours notified on 13/09/2022 - No comments or objections received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

None.

Pre-start Conditions - Not relevant.

Unitary Development Plan and Policies

SPD6 Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions

H2/3 Extensions and Alterations

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP) together with other relevant material planning considerations. The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP Policy will be referred to in the report, unless there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be

specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;

The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

The main considerations of this application are the impact of the proposal on visual and residential amenity.

Visual Amenity -

SPD 6 and UDP Policy H2/3 seek to achieve a high standard of design that compliments the original building, and does not have a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of neighbouring properties and the general street scene.

The proposed pitched roof on the front porch would be constructed using materials and a design that would be considered in keeping with the existing building.

The rear extension would also be built with materials and a design that would be considered in keeping with the existing property but as it would be to the rear of the house it would not be visible in the public realm at all. The design of the proposal is considered acceptable in this location.

Given the above the proposal conforms to Bury UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the guidance in Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and

Extensions to Residential Properties in terms of visual amenity.

Residential Amenity -

- The change of roof style to the existing front porch would not be considered detrimental in terms of residential amenity at neighbouring properties and it would not interfere with the existing parking arrangements within the site.
- The single storey rear extension would be more than a sufficient distance away from properties to the rear of the site not to have any significant detrimental impact on residential amenity at those properties and their gardens.
- Given the distance the extension would be from the shared boundary with no.1 infield Close and that any views from the extension would be towards a detached garage at that property there would not be any significant detrimental effect on residential amenity at no.1 to the south.
- The extension would project around 3.2m past the rear elevation of the garage belonging to no.5 to the north side, which has a blank rear elevation, and the side wall would be around 360mm off the shared boundary. With this in mind the projection of 3.2m past the rear elevation of no.5 would be acceptable in terms of SPD 6 and it is considered there would be no significant detrimental effect on residential amenity at that property.

The proposal conforms to UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the requirements of Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

Parking -

The proposal would not increase the number of bedrooms therefore SPD 11 Parking Standards need not be considered. The parking arrangements would remain as existing where there is parking to the existing garage and drive way to the side/front of the dwelling.

Statement in accordance with Article 35(2) Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2015

The proposal complies with the development plan and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. It therefore comprises sustainable development and the Local Planning Authority worked proactively and positively to issue the decision without delay. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 Town & Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2 This decision relates to drawings numbered 01, 02, 03 and 04 and the development shall not be carried out except in accordance with the drawings hereby approved.
Reason. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of design pursuant to the policies of the Bury UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the guidance in Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.
- 3 The external finishing materials for the proposal hereby approved shall match those of the existing building.
Reason. In the interests of visual amenity and to ensure a satisfactory development pursuant to Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations of the Bury Unitary

DELEGATED REPORT

Development Plan and Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
AU - 27/10/2022			