

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Jane Langan	Date of report: 14/06/2022	Ref: 68274/FUL
Registration: 14/04/2022	LDFC: 27/05/2022	Expiry Date: 09/06/2022
Site Visit: 18/05/2022	Site Notice: N/A	Press Date: N/A
Objections: 0	Con Area: N/A	Adv Type: NONE
Reason for Delay: N/A		EIA: NA
		Dec Level: DEL
		Stat Class: HOUS

Proposal: Part single/part two storey extension at side; Canopy at front

Location: 24 Brookside Crescent, Tottington, Bury, BL8 4BG

Description

The property is a two storey semi detached house. It has a garden and drive at the front and a paved gap at the side leading round to a fenced rear garden. The neighbouring property to the side of the proposal is No.26. It is extended two storey at the side up to the boundary and single storey at the rear. To the rear the site garden backs on to the gardens to 7 & 9 Greenside Drive.

The application proposes a two storey extension coming out 2.7m at the side. The first floor would be set back 1.5m from the front elevation and it would run to the existing rear elevation. The extension would form a store, utility and extended kitchen. At first floor would be an office and a bedroom. An existing bedroom would form an extended master bedroom with ensuite.

Relevant Planning History

None.

Publicity

Surrounding neighbours were notified by letter and no responses have been received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

None.

Pre-start Conditions - Not relevant.

Unitary Development Plan and Policies

H2/3 Extensions and Alterations
SPD6 Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP) together with other relevant material planning considerations. The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP Policy will be referred to in the report, unless there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due

regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;

The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

Visual amenity and design - The proposed extension would be built close to the boundary. The 1.5m set back to the first floor front would mean terracing would not be an issue. The roof would be hipped and set below the main ridge. Materials would see the existing and new walls finished in white render and windows replaced with grey upvc. The front entrance would have a dual pitched roof canopy added with tiles to match the main roof.

The proposal is considered acceptable and in keeping with the existing property and would not have a detrimental impact on the visual amenity of the area.

Parking - The proposal would not increase the number of bedrooms therefore SPD11 Parking Standards need not be considered. The site as existing has a drive to the front with one space and the plans note a proposed widened kerb and two spaces provided using part of the garden. The drive length would be 5.7m. Informatives are added for the kerb widening and porous/permeable drive materials. The area has on street parking and there is public transport available. In this instance then the parking arrangements are considered sufficient.

Residential amenity - The proposed extension would run as blank wall along the side elevation to No.26 which is also extended and blank wall.

To the rear there would be a distance in excess of 7m to the boundary which would comply with SPD6 Aspect Standards.

DELEGATED REPORT

There would then be no serious impact on the amenity of any adjacent neighbouring properties.

The proposal complies with UDP Policy H2/3 and SPD6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

Statement in accordance with Article 35(2) Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2015

The proposal complies with the development plan and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. It therefore comprises sustainable development and the Local Planning Authority worked proactively and positively to issue the decision without delay. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 Town & Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2 This decision relates to drawings numbered ZT22-196-00, ZT22-196-01, ZT22-196-02, ZT22-196-03, ZT22-196-04, ZT22-196-05 and the development shall not be carried out except in accordance with the drawings hereby approved.
Reason. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of design pursuant to the policies of the Bury Unitary Development Plan listed.

<u>Case Officer</u> JL	<u>Date</u> 14/06/22	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
---------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	-------------