

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Mo Warsame	Date of report: 30/11/2022	Ref: 68713/FUL
Registration: 17/10/2022	LDFC: 09/11/2022	Expiry Date: 12/12/2022
Site Visit:	Site Notice: N/A	Press Date: N/A
Objections: 0	Con Area: N/A	Adv Type: NONE
Reason for Delay: N/A		EIA: NA
		Dec Level: DEL
		Stat Class: HOUS

Proposal: Front porch and canopy

Location: 28 Bury And Bolton Road, Radcliffe, Manchester, M26 4LD

Description

The property is a two-storey dwelling with bay windows. The site has a drive to the front that has been hard surfaced and a garden to the rear. The adjoining neighbour is No.30 Bury and Bolton Road. This property has a pitched roof porch to the front. To the opposite side the property shares a boundary with No.28 Bury and Bolton Road, whilst properties to the front and rear are over 20 metres away from the application property.

Planning permission is sought for the construction of a front porch and a canopy to the side. The porch would project 1.3 metres to the front and run 2.3 metres across. The canopy would extend 1.5 metres to the side and measure 4.5 metres in length. The proposed development would have a wrap-around mono-pitched roof.

Relevant Planning History

68715 - Prior approval for proposed single storey extension at rear - Prior Approval Not Required - Extension 25/08/2022

Publicity

Neighbour letters sent on 18/10/2022. No objections received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

N/A

Pre-start Conditions

N/A

Unitary Development Plan and Policies

H2/3	Extensions and Alterations
SPD6	Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions
SPD11	Parking Standards in Bury

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP) together with other relevant material planning considerations. The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP Policy will be referred to in the report, unless there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;

The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

Visual amenity and design

SPD 6 and UDP Policy H2/3 seek to achieve a high standard of design that compliments the original building and does not have a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of neighbouring properties and the general street scene.

Situated in the front, the porch extension and the canopy to the side would be visible from the street scene. However, given the proposal would have simple and unobtrusive design, which would not detract from the character of the dwelling. Furthermore, the extensions would not project excessively. The development would have a mono- pitched roof replicating the shape and style of the original and neighbouring properties. The proposal would also utilise the use of matching materials.

Given the above the proposal conforms to Bury UDP Policies EN1/2 - Townscape and Built Design, H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the guidance in Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties in terms of visual amenity.

Residential Amenity

SPD 6 and H2/3 seek to reduce the impact of proposals on the residential amenity of neighbouring properties

DELEGATED REPORT

- Properties to the front would be over 20 metres from the front elevation of the proposed extensions which would be acceptable in terms of SPD 6.
- At the front the driveway would measure over 10 metres in length which would be sufficient in terms of SPD 6 and there are no parking restrictions to the highway in front.
- The porch would be of a reasonable size and as it would be positioned in front of the existing entrance to the property it would not interfere with parking arrangements on site.
- The proposed canopy would not interfere with parking arrangements on site.

The proposal conforms to UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the requirements of Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties in terms of separation distance to the surrounding properties.

Statement in accordance with Article 35(2) Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2015

The proposal complies with the development plan and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. It therefore comprises sustainable development and the Local Planning Authority worked proactively and positively to issue the decision without delay. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 Town & Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2 This decision relates to the drawings received on 26/07/2022 and the development shall not be carried out except in accordance with the drawings hereby approved.
Reason. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of design pursuant to the policies of the Bury Unitary Development Plan listed.
- 3 The external finishing materials for the proposal hereby approved shall match those of the existing building.
Reason. In the interests of visual amenity and to ensure a satisfactory development pursuant to Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
MW	30/11/22	DM	30/11/22