

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Amanda

Date of report: 15/06/2022

Ref:68326/FUL

Uhunmwagho

Registration: 12/04/2022

LDFC: 25/05/2022

Expiry Date: 07/06/2022

Site Visit: 25/05/2022

Site Notice: N/A

Press Date: N/A

Objections: 0

Con Area: N/A

Adv Type: NONE

Reason for Delay:

EIA: NA

N/A

Dec Level: DEL

Stat Class: HOUS

Proposal: Two storey side extension

Location: 6 Birch Avenue, Whitefield, Manchester, M45 7HW

Description

The application relates to a two storey semi-detached position fronting on to Birch Avenue at the junction with Lime Avenue. There is a short brick wall along the front boundary with a hedge. There is a drive way to the front of the site and a garden areas to the front, side and rear of the dwelling.

The proposal is to build a two storey side extension which due to the shape of the site would be wider at the front compared to the rear. It would have a hipped roof.

Relevant Planning History

N/A

Publicity

Neighbours notified on 04/05/2022 - No comments or objections received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

None

Pre-start Conditions - Not relevant

Unitary Development Plan and Policies

SPD6 Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions
H2/3 Extensions and Alterations

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP) together with other relevant material planning considerations. The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP Policy will be referred to in the report, unless there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;
The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

The main considerations of this application are the impact of the proposal on visual and residential amenity.

Visual Amenity -

SPD 6 and UDP Policy H2/3 seek to achieve a high standard of design that compliments the original building, and does not have a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of neighbouring properties and the general street scene.

The proposed design and finished materials to be used in the construction of the proposed extension would be considered to be in keeping with the existing building and it would be similar in appearance to other properties in the immediate vicinity. As it would be set back from the existing front elevation it would not appear intrusive in the streetscene. The design of the proposal is considered acceptable in this location.

Given the above the proposal conforms to Bury UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the guidance in Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties in terms of visual amenity.

Residential Amenity -

- Given the angle of the property on site the rear elevation of the side extension would be very close to the shared boundary with no.8 Birch Avenue next door. Given that there would be no windows in the side elevation and the only window to the rear would be a first floor bathroom window there would be no concerns in terms of the impact on privacy levels at no.8 next door.

- As there are no habitable room windows to the side elevation of no.8 next door it is considered that there would be no significant detrimental effect on residential amenity at this property. It is also noted that there are no objections to the proposal.
- SPD 6 states that where there is a proposed first floor habitable window in an extension there should be at least 7m to a shared boundary. As the only first floor rear window would be to a bathroom and a condition would be added stating that this particular window should remain obscurely glazed at all times there would be no significant detrimental effect on residential amenity due to the position of this window.
- As the extension would be built on the south west side of the property there would be no detrimental impact on residential amenity at no.20 Lime Avenue attached on the opposite side.
- The drive way to the front of the site would remain in the same position with no proposed changes to the existing access. As the drive way would measure over 5m in length this would be acceptable in terms of SPD 6.

Taking the above in to account the proposal conforms to UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the requirements of Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties in terms of residential amenity.

Parking -

The proposal would increase the number of bedrooms from 3 to 4 and SPD6 requires parking spaces as set out in SPD 11 Parking Standards to be considered. This can then require the proposal to provide a maximum of 3 parking spaces. The site as existing has an area at the front for parking a vehicle and this would remain following the side extension which would be set back from the front of the property. The area is high access in terms of public transport. In this instance then the parking arrangements are considered sufficient.

Statement in accordance with Article 35(2) Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2015

The Local Planning Authority worked positively and proactively with the applicant to identify various solutions during the application process to ensure that the proposal comprised sustainable development and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area and would accord with the development plan. These were incorporated into the scheme and/or have been secured by planning condition. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 Town & Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2 This decision relates to drawing numbered 202205.1 received on 09/06/2022 as modified by the email from Mr Mark Clancy dated 09/06/2022. The development shall not be carried out except in accordance with the details hereby approved.
Reason. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of design pursuant to the policies of the Bury UDP Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations and the guidance in Supplementary Planning Document 6 – Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.
- 3 The external finishing materials for the proposal hereby approved shall match those of the existing building.
Reason. In the interests of visual amenity and to ensure a satisfactory development

DELEGATED REPORT

pursuant to Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

- 4 Before the first occupation of the extension hereby permitted the new first floor en-suite window to the rear elevation shall be fitted with obscured glazing and shall be permanently retained in that condition thereafter.
Reason. To protect the privacy of adjoining occupiers and to accord with Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
AU - 15/06/2022			