

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Mo Warsame	Date of report: 04/11/2025	Ref: 72365/FUL
Registration: 10/09/2025	LDFC: 16/10/2025	Expiry Date: 05/11/2025
Site Visit:	Site Notice: N/A	Press Date: N/A
Objections: 0	Con Area: N/A	Adv Type: N/A
Reason for Delay: N/A		EIA: NA
		Dec Level: DEL
		Stat Class: HOUS

Proposal: Two/single storey extension at side/rear linked to existing garage

Location: 14 Coventry Road, Radcliffe, Manchester, M26 4FY

Description

The application relates to a detached dwelling house located on Coventry Road. The site have gardens to the front and rear and a drive to the side that leads to a garage. The adjacent properties on either side are No.12A and No.14A Coventry Road.

Planning permission is sought for the construction of a part two storey, part single storey side extension. The extension would have a setback of 500mm from the front elevation and project approximately 2700mm to the side. The two storey element would run flush with the principal rear elevation while single storey segment would extend 1.9m beyond the rear elevation to effectively connect with the existing garage. The development would have a pitched roof and would be constructed using matching materials.

Relevant Planning History

None.

Publicity

Neighbour letters sent on 24/09/2025. No objections received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

EDS Head of Parks and Countryside - No objections.

Pre-start Conditions

N/A

Development Plan and Policies

H2/3 Extensions and Alterations

SPD6 Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), the adopted Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan Document (PfE) and the saved policies within the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP), together with other relevant material planning considerations.

The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP and PfE Policies will be referred to in the report, unless there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;

The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

Visual amenity and design

UDP Policy H2/3 and SPD6 seeks to achieve a high standard of design that compliments the original building, does not have a detrimental impact on the character or appearance of neighbouring properties, and protects the general street scene.

The proposed part single/part two storey side extension would be considered in-keeping with the existing property. Positioned at the side, the extension would be visible from the public arena, however the extension would have a setback of 500mm from the front elevation and the proposed materials would match existing. Furthermore there are few properties nearby with similar extensions. As such, the proposed part single/part two storey side extension would be subservient to the main property and lead to a terracing effect. Therefore, it is considered to be acceptable.

The proposed development would be acceptable and comply with UDP Policy H2/3 and associated guidance in SPD6.

Residential amenity

UDP policy H2/3 and SPD6 seeks to reduce the impact of proposals on the residential amenity of neighbouring properties.

- The two storey element would not project beyond the principal rear elevation and the single storey segment would be tucked in and shaded by the existing garge. Therefore the 45-degree rule is not relevant in this assessment
- There would be sufficient distance to the rear boundary.
- There would be no proposed side windows and therefore no impact of overlooking and privacy.

The proposed extension would be acceptable and comply with UDP Policy H2/3 and associated guidance in SPD6.

Parking

The proposal would increase the number of bedrooms from 3 to 4 and SPD6 requires parking spaces as set out in SPD 11 Parking Standards to be considered, which requires the proposal to provide a minimum of 2 parking spaces within this low access area. Existing on-site parking provides 1 car space, and there is additional parking available on street. Given the situation and the fact there are no changes to parking layout other than driveway width, it is therefore considered that the proposed parking arrangements are sufficient.

Statement in accordance with Article 35(2) Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2015

The proposal complies with the development plan and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area. It therefore comprises sustainable development and the Local Planning Authority worked proactively and positively to issue the decision without delay. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 Town & Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2 This decision relates to drawings numbered Drawing Nos.1-9 and the development shall not be carried out except in accordance with the drawings hereby approved.
Reason. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of design pursuant to the policies of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan listed.

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
MW	04/11/2025	HL -	04/11/2025