

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Mo Warsame	Date of report: 27/11/2023	Ref: 69961/FUL
Registration: 04/08/2023	LDFC: 07/09/2023	Expiry Date: 29/09/2023
Site Visit: 13/10/2023	Site Notice: N/A	Press Date: N/A
10:00:00		
Objections: 0	Con Area:	Adv Type: N/A
Reason for Delay:		EIA: NA
N/A		Dec Level: DEL
		Stat Class: HOUS

Proposal: Front porch; single storey / two storey extension at side and two storey extension at rear

Location: 105 Kings Road, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 0JS

Description

The application relates to a two-storey semi-detached dwelling on a residential street of similar sized semi-detached properties some with side extensions. The neighbour at No.103 Kings Road has a double storey extension at the side and rear with the upper level set back at the front while the neighbour (No.107 Kings Road) on the other side has a single storey side extension.

Planning permission is sought for a front porch extension and part single storey / two storey extension at side and two storey extension at rear. The side element would project 2.5m to the side on the ground floor and would have a setback of 1.2m from the side boundary on the first floor. The two-storey rear extension would project 1 metre to the rear and run approximately 5m to the side. The proposed front porch would be a single storey extension with hexangular shape measuring 1.7m by 2.9m. The development would be constructed using matching materials and would have pitched roof above all the proposed elements.

Relevant Planning History

40952 -SINGLE STOREY EXTENSION AT SIDE & REAR

Publicity

Neighbour letters sent. No objections received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

N/A

Pre-start Conditions

N/A

Unitary Development Plan and Policies

H2/3 Extensions and Alterations
SPD6 Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP) together with other relevant material planning considerations, including relevant policies in the emerging Places for Everyone Joint Development Plan.

The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP Policy will be referred to in the report, unless there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;

The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

Visual Amenity

SPD 6 and UDP Policy H2/3 seek to achieve a high standard of design that compliments the original building and does not have a detrimental impact on the character and appearance of neighbouring properties and the general street scene.

SPD 6 seeks to prevent two storey side extensions from causing a terracing effect by requiring a 1.5 metre set back to the main frontage or to the boundary. The street scene shows a strong build line and setback on the frontages of the properties with similar extensions. The proposed part single, part two storey extension would have 1.2 metres setback from the side boundary on the first floor. Whilst this would be below the ideal setback distance, it would not lead to uncharacteristic terracing.

The proposed single storey front porch extension would be a modest structure with pitched roof that would appear subservient to the existing structure. Additionally it would not interfere with the existing parking arrangement.

The proposed part single, part two storey extension would be simple and unobtrusive design that would not detract from the character of the existing dwelling. The proposed two-storey extension to the rear would also be considered suitably in scale. Overall, the development would match existing materials and not overwhelm the dwelling or result in over development of the associated residential amenity space. Furthermore, there are several similar developments approved within this street. As such, it is considered that the proposal would not have a detrimental impact on the character of the dwelling, street scene or neighbouring properties and would therefore comply with UDP Policy H2/3 and SPD 6.

Residential Amenity

SPD 6 and H2/3 seek to reduce the impact of proposals on the residential amenity of neighbouring properties.

- In terms of overlooking and privacy to the rear, there would be 6 metres separation distance to the rear boundary from the proposed first floor windows. However, none of the proposed rear windows on the first floor would be from habitable room. Furthermore, all proposed windows to the rear would be conditioned to be obscure glazed up to 1.7m.
- The part single, part two storey side extension would have no proposed habitable windows to the side a blank. The proposed windows to the side would all be bathroom windows. Furthermore, the adjacent neighbour (No.103) projects a blank wall.
- In terms of the general prominence and impact on daylight the proposed extensions would be set off from the shared side boundary and would not extend beyond the neighbours existing extension at No.103 and there would be sufficient distance to the neighbouring property on the opposite side.

Parking

The proposal will result in one additional bedroom on the first floor, however there will be no changes to site access, or parking. The driveway at its current condition meets the maximum parking requirements. Furthermore, there are off-street parking space(s) available if required.

Statement in accordance with Article 35(2) Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2015

The Local Planning Authority worked positively and proactively with the applicant to identify various solutions during the application process to ensure that the proposal comprised sustainable development and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area and would accord with the development plan. These were incorporated into the scheme and/or have been secured by planning condition. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 Town & Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2 This decision relates to drawings numbered Dwg No. GSS23009-001, Dwg No. GSS23009-002, Dwg No. GSS23009- 003, Dwg No. GSS23009-004 and the development shall not be carried out except in accordance with the drawings hereby approved.
Reason. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of design pursuant to the policies of the Bury Unitary Development Plan listed.
- 3 The external finishing materials for the proposal hereby approved shall match those of the existing building.
Reason. In the interests of visual amenity and to ensure a satisfactory development pursuant to Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.
- 4 Before the first occupation of the extension hereby permitted the windows at rear on the first floor from the proposed Study and Utility shall both be fitted with obscured glazing up to 1.7m and shall be permanently retained in that condition thereafter.
Reason. To protect the privacy of adjoining occupiers and to accord with Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
MW	27/11/2023		