

Simpatico

Town Planning

27 Victoria Avenue, Whitefield, Manchester, M45 6BZ



Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for proposed use of a (C3a) dwelling as a residential home for a maximum of two children with two carers who would sleep overnight on a rota basis (C2)

Supporting Statement

Statement prepared on 21st May 2025 by Richard Jewkes BSc DipTP MA,

Simpatico Town Planning Limited (Company no. 12179896)

simpaticotownplanning@mail.com

All contents of this document are property of Simpatico Town Planning Limited and protected by copyright

Introduction

1. This Statement is submitted alongside an application by SAI Houses Limited which seeks the grant of a Certificate of Lawful Development for a proposed use of the property known as 27 Victoria Avenue, Whitefield, Manchester, M45 6BZ ('the application property').
2. The application seeks confirmation from Bury Metropolitan Borough Council ('the LPA') that the proposed use of the property as a small residential home for a maximum of two resident young people, who would receive care on site in a household setting, would be considered a non-material change of use for planning purposes, being materially the same, under the terms of the Use Classes Order, as the current, established, C3(a) residential use. As such, the application seeks confirmation, via the issuing of a Lawful Development Certificate (LDC), that the proposed use as detailed in this submission would be lawful and would not require the express grant of planning consent.
3. This Statement will present the background surrounding the case and will set out, in the context of the nature and intensity of the existing use, how the proposed use would operate, in order that the LPA may, in the light of this information, confirm that the proposed use would not represent a 'material' change of use, but would, to all intents and purposes, be akin to the established C3(a) use and as such would not constitute 'development' for planning purposes. It will also highlight and consider similar recent cases whereby the LPA has issued LDCs confirming that proposed changes of use from dwelling to small residential home in which care is provided did not constitute a material change of use and, as such, did not require the grant of planning permission.
4. In addition to this Statement, the application is supported by the following drawings and documents. As such, this Statement should be read in conjunction with them:
 - Location Plan
 - Floor Plans
 - Application Form

Application site and planning history

5. The application property is a terraced, three bedroom dwelling of inter war, Twentieth Century construction, located in an established residential area to the south west of the town of Whitefield. The property is mid-terraced, set back behind an enclosed fore garden, and also benefits from a private rear garden. Figure 1, below, is a photograph of the property's frontage, including the front garden, as viewed from the highway in Victoria Avenue.


Figure 1 – the application property



6. The LPA's records confirm that there have been no previous planning applications, nor is there any other relevant planning history, relating to the property. It has been in continuous C3(a) residential use since it was built in the 1930s, and whilst improvements and modifications have been made to the property over the years, none of these required planning consent,. The property was built as a residential dwelling and has remained continually in such use for the duration of its life.
7. Internally, the property is currently configured for occupation by a medium-sized family. In fact, at the time of writing, under the established use, a family household is currently resident. At first floor level, the property benefits from three bedrooms (comprising the double 'Master' bedroom, a second double bedroom and a third single room), and a family bathroom. At ground floor level, the property benefits from a hall, lounge, kitchen/dining room and an understairs store cupboard.

8. Figure 2, below, comprises extracts from the submitted floor plans which show the property's existing internal layout at ground and first floor.

Figure 2 – Existing floor plans (not to scale)




9. Externally, to the front the property enjoys an enclosed fore garden, and to the rear, there is a private garden area measuring approximately 61.2 square metres in area, with a maximum depth of 10.6 metres. Figure 4, below, is an aerial image of the existing rear garden (all aerial images taken from Google), including the property's rear elevation.

Figure 3 – Photograph of rear garden and surroundings



10. Beyond the site's immediate boundaries, it is located in a well-established residential area on the fringe of Whitefield. Neighbouring properties within the locality are exclusively C3(a) dwellinghouses. Figure 4, below, is an aerial photograph of the site which shows its curtilage edged in red.

Figure 4 – Aerial photograph showing site and its surroundings



Proposal

11. It is proposed to use the property to provide a residential home, supported by carers, for a maximum of two young people between the ages of 7 and 18. The occupiers would live as a household, receiving support and care from qualified professionals who would have a de facto parenting role and a high degree of personal familiarity with the residents, in order to provide them with a safe and loving home within a domestic, family environment.
12. On the basis that the care staff would not be fully 'resident' at the property, i.e. using it as their primary address, it is recognised in the context of the 'North Devon Judgment', that the proposed use would not technically fall within the boundaries of Use Class C3(b) of the Use Classes Order. However, it is considered to be the case, in this instance, that the proposed use of the property as a residential home for up to two young people, who would live as a household and would receive care in family environment, would equate, in all material senses, to a use instituted under Use Class C3, and therefore would not require the grant of express planning consent. This conclusion has been reached on the basis of the following details of the proposed use.
13. As has been noted, the property was built as a family home, including three bedrooms and a private rear garden, and is presently occupied on that basis by a family. It is proposed that, under the future use, the living experience at the house would continue to be very much akin to the current daily routine. Under the proposal, the two resident young people would each have their own bedrooms, with the younger of the children generally having the smaller room, as one would usually expect in a family home. The care staff would sleep in the other bedroom, as required. Aside from the resident young people, no one else would live at the property. However, care staff would be present around the clock in order to care for the children, on the basis of a shift rota system which will be detailed below.
14. The carers would typically be present on a two days (48 hours) on and four days (96 hours) off pattern. Each shift would start at approximately 1000 every two days, meaning that 'handovers' would not happen every day, but only once every two days, with the overlap being outside of the 'rush hour' and lasting no more than 30 minutes. In addition to the care staff, a home manager would also oversee the home and to provide senior input into its operations. However, the manager would have an office off site, and where possible would carry out their administrative duties remotely from there. They would only attend the property itself as required in order to discharge their duties, for example for general oversight and for 'catch up' meetings with the carers. They would also visit in the case of some kind of unforeseen issue or emergency. Visits from

family and friends of the residents would also not take place at the property. Typically, the residents would visit family and friends in neutral locations off site, again with their carers present to look after them at all times.

15. Aside from the short handover which would take place once every 48 hours, and the visits of a Manager, the only trips to and from the property during the daily routine would be the usual domestic trips that a family household would need to make. These would include, for example, journeys to and from school, journeys associated with any other activities which the young people may engage in outside of school, for example sports or hobbies, and journeys for normal domestic reasons such as food shopping.
16. As would normally be expected in a domestic setting, and as is the case under the existing use of the application property, on weekdays the carers would take the children to school and accompany them to any other appointments or extra-curricular activities during the day-time. When the children were at home, the carers would be on site to care for them and to engage in play and the other activities and chores one would expect. When the children were out at school or college, there would be a reduced presence of carers at the property, with it often being empty, again as one would expect in a domestic household.
17. This level of activity would not exceed a 'functional' total of 4-6 trips per day, which would not be any higher than would be expected of a three-bedroom residential dwelling in this location. Clearly there may be occasions when there are more daily trips, and there may be occasions when there are fewer. However, for the purposes of assessing the 'materiality' of the proposed use when compared with the established use, it is considered that the level of activity would be commensurate with the current C3 use, under which a family of four or more people, including two or more children or young people, would reasonably be expected to reside at the property.
18. This approach is designed to ensure continuity for the residents, in order to create a family environment in which they are able to bond with the carers who look after them during their waking hours. Care would be given in a communal environment with the young people and their carers sharing facilities in a manner akin to a family. For example, there would be no locks on bedroom doors and meals would be taken together, being cooked by the care staff with the help of the residents.
19. **Professional Ofsted standards and safeguarding:** The children or young people would be cared for on a medium to long term basis in order for them to integrate properly into the community and build strong relationships with the carers and the environment. This is a standard arrangement within a residential home environment, regardless of the level of care provided.

20. In the case of all incoming residents, a professional, detailed risk assessment would be undertaken to ensure that the residence was appropriate for the chosen young people. As standard procedure, all prospective new residents would be referred to the Applicant by a local Children's Services department, following which their case would, in the first instance, be reviewed and the young person screened by the home's manager, and then with its care team. Referrals would then be shortlisted, and their social workers consulted at that stage. The next stage would be for the young person to be invited to the property for a short visit, normally including a meal, in order to ascertain whether or not they felt comfortable in the house and would like to become a resident. Only after all of these checks had been carried out, would a young person be placed at the property as a resident.

21. In order to run a residential home of this kind, it is necessary to obtain a registration from Ofsted. Ofsted stress the need for care homes to be operated in an environment as close as possible to a traditional family home environment, and its requirements in this regard can be summarised as follows:

- *A risk assessment would be undertaken to ensure that the residence is appropriate for the chosen children, prior to them moving in.*
- *Children in residential child care should be loved, happy, healthy, safe from harm and able to develop, thrive and fulfil their potential.*
- *Residential child care should value and nurture each child as an individual with talents, strengths and capabilities that can develop over time.*
- *Residential child care should foster positive relationships, encouraging strong bonds between children and staff in the home on the basis of jointly undertaken activities, shared daily life, domestic and non-domestic routines and established boundaries of acceptable behaviour.*

Legislative context

Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987

22. The established use of the application property is C3(a), within Class C3 (Dwellinghouses) of the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended). The C3 use class generally refers to instances where the occupiers of a given residential property live together as a single household. Different types of residential living arrangements are allowed for under the C3 use class, as follows: -

Use as a dwellinghouse (whether or not as a sole or main residence) by—

(a) a single person or by people to be regarded as forming a single household;

(b) not more than six residents living together as a single household where care is provided for residents;
or

(c) not more than six residents living together as a single household where no care is provided to residents (other than a use within Class C4).

23. The Use Classes Order allows, as a 'permitted change', the switching of living arrangements within the uses defined in classes C3(a), C3(b) and C3(c) , or indeed between use classes C3 and C4, without the grant of planning permission being required.

24. Article 2 of the Order confirms that, for the purpose of use class categorisation, 'care' should be interpreted as follows:

“‘care’ means personal care for people in need of such care by reason of old age, disablement, past or present dependence on alcohol or drugs or past or present mental disorder, and in class C2 also includes the personal care of children and medical care and treatment.”

25. This proposal relates to the provision of a residential home for young people, in an environment which operates principally in the way that a shared residential property, including the provision of care, would under Use Class C3(b). However, case law has established that a residential household, for the purposes of Use Class C3(b), will not generally exist in instances where a property is occupied, as a place of residence, only by children or young people. In this scenario, both appeal decisions and case law (the most prevalent of which being known as the 'North Devon Judgment') have held that where young people are to be the sole permanent residents of a given property, this arrangement cannot be considered, technically, to fall within

Use Class C3 of the Use Class Order. Case law also holds, however, that where a given proposed use does not, technically, fall within Use Class C3(b), depending on the specific circumstances of the site and the proposed use, it may still represent a 'non-material' change of use, being for all intents and purposes the same as a C3(b) household, with the express grant of planning permission therefore not being required.

26. In the case of this application, it is recognised that the proposed living arrangements would not, by virtue of the absence of permanently resident adults, constitute a lawful change of use under Use Class C3(b). However, it is submitted that the proposed living arrangements, whereby the occupiers of the property would live in all senses as a 'household', with the resident young person or people receiving care and support, would not be materially different, for planning purposes, to the existing use of the property as a C3(a) dwelling for a family comprising two adults and two children/young people. It is submitted that it would, in terms of all material considerations, be no different or any more noticeable, than the lawful occupation of the property, as permitted within Use Class C3, as a residence occupied under Use Class C3(b).
27. This application therefore seeks confirmation from the LPA, based on the details of the proposal as outlined, and of the likely material impacts (or lack thereof) upon the local community following the implementation of the proposed change of use, that the proposal would not result in any 'material' impact when compared either with the established C3(a) use, or with a bona fide C3(b) use, and therefore would not require the express grant of planning permission by the LPA. The application therefore seeks the grant of a Certificate of Lawfulness for Proposed Development on that basis.
28. In order to assist the LPA in making a judgment regarding the materiality of the proposed change of use, and the extent to which it would result in any perceptible material impact for planning purposes, the potential impacts of the proposal will be considered individually in the subsequent section of this Statement. These are considered to comprise the following:
- Parking and highway safety
 - 'Comings and goings' and other general activity associated with the use
 - Noise and other disturbance created by the residents

Recent local comparable cases/precedents

29. A perusal of the LPA's planning records confirms that Lawful Development Certificates have been recently issued for proposed uses which are commensurate with, or indeed more intensive, than that which is under consideration in this application. These are highlighted in order to demonstrate that the proposal under consideration here would not represent a material change of use, when compared with the existing reasonably expected use as a residential household with two adult residents, and two young people. These cases confirm that the LPA concurs with this assertion, having recently issued LDCs for the same use at similar properties within the Borough.

Recent example no. 1 – Application 69169 - 3 Sandown Road, Bury, BL9 8HN

30. On 18th April 2023, the LPA issued a Lawful Development Certificate relating to a proposed use to be undertaken at 3 Sandown Road, Bury. The decision notice, a copy of which is appended to this Statement at Appendix 1, confirms the LPA's position that the use of the property as a small residential home for up to three children would not represent a material change of use in planning terms and, as such would be lawful and would not require the grant of planning consent.

31. The decision notice confirms the LPA's position that the use of the property to accommodate three children is lawful, based on the following description:

- 1 The proposal, described in the First Schedule, is not deemed to constitute development within the meaning of Section 55 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) as the proposed change of use of 3 Sandown Road, Bury, BL9 8HN to a children's home (Class C2) for up to 3 children with a maximum of 3 additional staff members with 2 of those staying overnight on a rota basis and operating in full accordance with the details set out within the applicants planning statement submitted 13th December 2022, would not represent a material change of use from the existing Class C3 dwellinghouse use, and would therefore be Lawful Development.

32. This decision was based on materials submitted with the planning application which cannot be reproduced here for copyright reasons, but which explained that the property in question had five bedrooms, and three off street parking spaces. The Case Officer's report on the application explains this position, in the context of the relevant material considerations, as follows:

The residing of up to 3 children aged 8-18 with up to 3 carers on a rota basis, no more than 5 people in total, is considered not to constitute a material change of use after taking into account the following factors. The size of the household of 3 8-18 year olds and 3 adults would not go beyond what would be expected of a large family unit in a two storey semi-detached dwellinghouse. The movements of the occupiers of the property would not go beyond what would be expected of a family unit. There would not be any frequent visits by other care staff or clinicians. There will be three off-street car parking spaces at the front of the property and there is on street parking available in nearby side streets. No external or internal changes are required to the property.

As such the change of use would not alter the character of the immediate vicinity, either by alteration of the building or by any increase in noise or disturbance or have any more significant impact on the residential amenity of nearby occupiers. As such the change of use does fall into the different use class of C2 - care homes, but does not constitute a material change of use from residential occupation. Therefore the proposed change of use would be lawful.

33. The application case is comparable to that of 3 Sandown Road, in that the application property has three off street parking spaces, and four bedrooms. It is considered that here, in the same way as 3 Sandown Road, the proposed new use would not be materially different or noticeable in terms of external impacts.

Recent example no. 2 – Application 67556 - 141 Ainsworth Road, Bury, BL8 2RT

34. Similarly, on 3rd December 2021, the LPA granted another Lawful Development Certificate relating to a proposed use to be undertaken at 141 Ainsworth Road, Bury. The decision notice, a copy of which is appended to this Statement at Appendix 2, confirms the LPA's position that the use of the property as a small care home for up to four young people, supported by a carer who would sleep at the property overnight would, again, not represent a material change of use in planning terms and, as such, would be lawful and would not require the grant of planning consent.
35. The decision notice confirms the LPA's position that the use of the property to accommodate up to four young people, aged 16 to 18, with a 'sleeping' carer, was lawful, based on the following description:

- 1 The proposal, described in the First Schedule, is not deemed to constitute development within the meaning of Section 55 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) as the proposed change of use of 141 Ainsworth Road, Bury, BL8 2RT, to a care home (Class C2) for up to 4 persons between the ages of 16 & 18 years with a maximum of 1 additional staff member staying overnight on a rota basis, would not represent a material change of use from the existing Class C3 dwellinghouse use, and would therefore be Lawful Development.

36. This decision was based on materials submitted with the planning application which cannot be reproduced here for copyright reasons, but which argued that the proposed use would fall within use class C3(b), on the basis that the residents would be adults and, therefore, not subject to the precedent of the North Devon Judgment. The LPA disagreed with that interpretation, on the basis that 16 to 18 year olds would not be considered fully fledged 'adults', bringing the proposed use under the C2 class. The LPA did, however, consider that the proposed switch to a C2 use would, in the circumstances, be immaterial for planning purposes. The Case Officer's Report explains this position, in the context of the relevant material considerations, as follows:

The size of the household of four 16-18 year olds and 1 adult would not go beyond what would be expected of a large family unit in a two storey terraced dwellinghouse. The movements of the occupiers of the property would not go beyond what would be expected of a family unit. No extra medical professionals would be required to visit as all care will be provided by the 1 carer on a rota. On street parking would be available in nearby side streets. As such the change of use would not alter the character of the immediate vicinity, either by alteration of the building or by any increase in noise or disturbance or have any more significant impact on the residential amenity of nearby occupiers. As such the change of use does fall into the different use class of C2 - care homes, but does not constitute a material change of use from residential occupation. Therefore the proposed change of use would be lawful.

37. As the Case Officer's comments highlight, in the 141 Ainsworth Road case, the application property was a terraced house, with no off street parking. Nonetheless, it was found by the LPA that the proposed use would be no more intensive than the existing C3(a) use, and as such would have no material impact which would trigger the need for planning consent.

Recent example no. 3 – Application 69777/LDCP - 14 Higher Lane, Whitefield, M45 7FY

38. A further relevant example of precedent in the LPA's assessment of LDC applications of this type was a decision issued on 20th September 2023, which related to a proposed use to be undertaken at 14 Higher Lane, Whitefield. In that case, it was proposed to change the use of the property from C4 (small HMO) to a C2 use comprising a residential home for up to two children, aged 8 to 16, with up to two staff living-in working on a shift pattern. The Case Officer's report, a copy of which is appended to this Statement at Appendix 3, again confirms the LPA's finding that the use of the property as a small residential home for up to two children would not represent a material change of use in planning terms and, as such, would be lawful and would not require the grant of planning consent.
39. The decision notice confirms the LPA's position that the use of the property to accommodate two children is lawful, based on the following description:

An application for a Certificate of Lawful Development under Section 192 for the proposed change of use of a dwellinghouse (Use Class C3(a)) to a children's care home (Class C2) for up to 2 children aged 8-16 with up to two staff living-in working on a shift pattern. The care staff would work on a rota basis and would not be permanently resident. Effectively, therefore, the property will be occupied as a single household with two adults and two young people.

40. Upon assessing the proposal, the LPA considered that the proposed switch from a C4 to a C2 use would, in the circumstances, be immaterial for planning purposes, on the basis that the proposed use would have no material impact and as such would not cause any external detriment to the amenity of the street nor the wider locality. The Case Officer's Report explains this position, in the context of the relevant material considerations, as follows:

The judgement reiterated that case law had established that whether or not a material change of use had taken place was a question of fact and degree. The residing of up to 2 children aged 8-16 with up to 2 carers on a rota basis, no more than 4 people in total, is considered not to constitute a material change of use after taking into account the following factors. The size of the household of 2 8-16 year olds and 2 adults would not go beyond what would be expected of a standard family unit in a two storey detached dwellinghouse. The movements of the occupiers of the property would not go beyond what would be expected of a family unit. Each member of the household will have their own bedroom and will share all other domestic facilities within the property such as the

bathroom, kitchen, lounge and garden. There is onsite parking at the rear of the building and also on street parking available on nearby side streets. There is expected to be a visit from a social worker once every 4-6 weeks in the early stages of a child's placement but this is expected to reduce to once every 2 months when the child has settled into the home, there is expected to be 1 visit every fortnight from other supporting professionals but the frequency of visits in total is not any more than one could expect from a family home.

As such the change of use would not alter the character of the immediate vicinity, either by alteration of the building or by any increase in noise or disturbance or have any more significant impact on the residential amenity of nearby occupiers. As such the change of use does fall into the different use class of C2 - care homes, but does not constitute a material change of use from residential occupation. Therefore the proposed change of use would be lawful.

41. As the Case Officer's comments highlight, in the 14 Higher Lane case the application property was under a domestic residential use, nonetheless, it was found by the LPA that the proposed use, despite technically falling within class C2, would be no more intensive than the existing use and, as such, would have no material impact which would trigger the need for planning consent.

Recent example no. 4 – Application 70530 - 61 Prestwich Hills, Prestwich, Manchester,

M25 9PY

42. Finally, a further recent example of precedent in the LPA's assessment of LDC applications of this type was a decision issued on 19th April 2024, which related to a proposed use to be undertaken at 61 Prestwich Hills. The decision notice, a copy of which is appended to this Statement at Appendix 4, confirms the LPA's position that the use of the property as a small residential home for up to three children would not represent a material change of use in planning terms and, as such would be lawful and would not require the grant of planning consent.
43. The decision notice confirms the LPA's position that the use of that property to accommodate three children was lawful, based on the following description:

The proposal, described in the First Schedule, is not deemed to constitute development within the meaning of Section 55 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) as the proposed change of use of 61 Prestwich Hills, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 9PY to a residential children's home for up to three children aged 8-18 with up to three staff working on a rota basis (Class C2) and operating in full accordance with the details set out within the applicants supporting statement submitted 4th March 2024, would not represent a material change of use from the existing Class C3 dwellinghouse use, and would therefore be Lawful Development.

44. As the decision notice highlights, in the 61 Prestwich Hills case the application property was under a domestic residential use, and it was found by the LPA that the proposed use, despite technically falling within class C2, would be no more intensive than the existing use and as, such would have no material impact which would trigger the need for planning consent.

Recent example no. 5 – Application 70735 - 3 Shirehills, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 9QB

45. A further recent example of precedent in the LPA's assessment of LDC applications of this type was a decision issued on 1st July 2024, which related to a proposed use to be undertaken at 3 Shirehills. The decision notice, a copy of which is appended to this Statement at Appendix 5, confirms the LPA's position that the use of the property as a small residential home for up to three children would not represent a material change of use in planning terms and, as such would be lawful and would not require the grant of planning consent.

46. The decision notice confirms the LPA's position that the use of that property to accommodate two children was lawful, based on the following description:

The proposal, described in the First Schedule, is not deemed to constitute development within the meaning of Section 55 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) as the proposed change of use of 3 Shirehills, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 9QB to a residential children's home for up to two children aged 8-18 with up to two staff working on a rota basis (Class C2) and operating in full accordance with the details set out within the applicants supporting statement submitted 9th May 2024, would not represent a material change of use from the existing Class C3 dwellinghouse use, and would therefore be Lawful Development.

47. As the decision notice highlights, in the 3 Shirehills case the application property was under a domestic residential use, and it was found by the LPA that the proposed use, despite technically falling within class C2, would be no more intensive than the existing use and as, such would have no material impact which would trigger the need for planning consent.

1LA

48. Finally, the most recent example of precedent in the LPA's assessment of LDC applications of this type was a decision issued on 25th October 2024, which related to a proposed use to be undertaken at 108 Heys Road. The decision notice, a copy of which is appended to this Statement at Appendix 6, confirms the LPA's position that the use of the property as a small residential home for up to two children would not represent a material change of use in planning terms and, as such would be lawful and would not require the grant of planning consent.

49. The decision notice confirms the LPA's position that the use of that property to accommodate two children was lawful, based on the following description:

The proposal, described in the First Schedule, is not deemed to constitute development within the meaning of Section 55 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) as the proposed change of use of 108 Heys Road, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 1LA to a residential children's home for up to two children with up to two staff working on a rota basis (Class C2) and operating in full accordance with the details set out within the applicants supporting statement submitted 12th September 2024, would not represent a material change of use from the existing Class C3 dwellinghouse use, and would therefore be Lawful Development.

50. As the decision notice highlights, in the 108 Heys Road case the application property was under a domestic residential use, and it was found by the LPA that the proposed use, despite technically falling within class C2, would be no more intensive than the existing use and as, such would have no material impact which would trigger the need for planning consent.

51. **Summary:** In granting LDCs relating to the above four applications, the LPA has confirmed its interpretation of case law in relation to proposals of this type. Whilst every case is of course different and must be judged upon its individual merits, the new uses which were proposed in those cases, each of which were judged to be immaterial and as such lawful, were in some cases more intensive than that which is proposed in this current application.

Planning considerations and materiality

52. As has been explained above, based on the details of the proposed use, and the application property's facilities and physical qualities, it is considered that no perceptible material impacts would result from its implementation on this site, and that as such the proposal would not represent a material change of use for which the grant of express planning consent would be required. The use would, in all material senses, be akin to the established use, that of a conventional dwellinghouse occupied by four people under use class C3(a), or the alternative C3(b) use which could be implemented here as a 'permitted change'. The various potential material impacts will therefore be considered here.
53. **Parking and highway safety:** As has been highlighted, the application property benefits from a generous curtilage, but does not include 'on plot' parking facilities. This has always been the case – the property was built in the 1930s, prior to widespread use of motor vehicles, and despite having no parking spaces has been occupied continuously since that time by families.
54. Given that the property does not, and has never, possessed on plot parking space, and that it is currently occupied by a family under the established C3(a) use, it is considered that the use proposed in this application would generate no additional demand for parking than is currently the case. The property is occupied as a C3(a) dwellinghouse, and it is evident that the extent of the available floor space at the property lends itself to occupation by a medium-sized family. Any parking demand which is generated by the existing use is currently accommodated through on street parking.
55. This would not alter under the proposed use, and it is considered that there would be no material increase in parking demands, in comparison with that which may be expected under the existing, established use. In this sense, the proposal would have a neutral impact.
56. An inspection of the surrounding street scene confirms that on street parking space is abundantly available and could, as would be the case under the established C3(a) use, comfortably accommodate any vehicles related to the new use, on occasions when this may be required. Figures 6 and 7, overleaf, comprise photographs of the street scene in Victoria Avenue which demonstrate the high availability of on street parking.

Figure 6 – Street scene image (application property to right hand side)




Figure 7 – Street scene image (application property to left hand side)




57. Under the proposed use, a maximum of two care staff would be present at the site at any one time. However, as highlighted above, due to the resident young people attending education, or other off site engagements or activities in the afternoon, it would often be the case that just one carer, or none at all, would be present at the property. The 'handover' periods, during which staff would rotate, would take place just once every 48 hours, lasting no more than 30 minutes on each occasion. This level of demand is considered to be no more intensive, or noticeable, than is the case under the current use as a family dwellinghouse.
58. As would normally be expected in a domestic setting, and as is the case under the existing use of the application property, on weekdays the carers would take the young people to school and accompany them to any other appointments or extra-curricular activities during the day-time. When the young people were at home, the carers would be on site to care for them and to engage in play and the other activities and chores one would typically expect. When the young people were out at school or college, there would be a reduced presence of carers at the property, with it often being empty, again as one would expect in a domestic household.
59. In terms of trips to and from school, it is particularly notable that the application property is very close to Whitefield Community Primary School, which is located 100 metres to the south east, and also to Ribble Drive Primary School, which is 600 metres to the north. According to Google Maps, the walk from the application property to the school gates at Whitefield Community School takes approximately 2 minutes on foot (0.1 miles). It is therefore anticipated that, under the proposed use, any residents of an appropriate, primary school age would walk to and from school, again reducing the number of daily vehicular trips emanating from the property.
60. The property is also close to local secondary schools, including Philips High School which is 533 metres to the west, and Parrenthorn High School, which is 1.4 miles to the east. According to Google Maps, the walk from the application property to the school gates at Philips High School takes approximately 16 minutes on foot (0.7 miles) Figure 8, overleaf, shows these relationships on a map, with the local primary schools highlighted in gold, and the secondary schools in pink.

Figure 8 - Relationship with neighbouring schools (application site shown with red star)



61. In addition, it must also be noted that the site is situated in a particularly sustainable location, in a highly populated urban area, with all of its available private and public transport links. In fact, there are two bus stops located around the corner from the site in Bury New Road (A56), with more being available nearby in Thatch Leach Lane. These stops provide access to the public transport network, with routes to Whitefield, Salford, Bury Town Centre, and Manchester City Centre, all being available. Figure 9, below, shows circled in green the location of these bus stops in close proximity to the site (application site shown with red star).

Figure 9 - Nearby bus stops providing access to the public transport network



62. The site is therefore highly sustainable, and as such **it must be taken as read that at least some of the staff who would provide care at the property would use public transport to travel to work**, again thereby reducing the demand for parking associated with the new use.
63. **‘Comings and goings’ as a result of the proposed use:** It is considered that the proposed use would not generate any disturbance which would be perceptible to the surrounding residents. As has been noted, any trips to and from the property during the day made by carers would be for ‘school runs’ and for other engagements which the residents needed to attend. This is the case under the current, established use. The only other trips to and from the property during the day would occur when the Manager visited the site. These visits would not occur every day but only as required in order for them to perform their oversight and safeguarding duties. It is considered that ‘comings and goings’ of this level of intensity would be imperceptible to the surrounding residents, and would be broadly commensurate, in fact possibly less intensive, than the level of activity generated by a family living at a property of this size and with this number of bedrooms. The number of ‘comings and goings’ resulting from the proposed use would therefore not materially exceed that which would already be expected at this site, and would not result in any material harm to surrounding neighbour amenity or highway safety.
64. **Noise:** Similarly, it is considered that the proposed use would be highly unlikely to give rise to the emission of excessive noise from the property, above and beyond what would generally be expected of a family residence of this size. It is considered that any noise created either from within the property itself, or from the garden when being used for recreation in the warmer months, would not exceed that which would be created by a resident family under the current use. Indeed, the proposed use would be likely to generate less noise than is currently the case, on the basis that the children and young people would be supervised at all times by care staff when using the garden area. In terms of potential for noise disturbance, therefore, the proposed use would not be materially harmful, or even more noticeable, in comparison with the established use under the current level of occupancy.
65. On the basis that no more people would generally be present at the property under the proposed use than would be the case should it continue to be occupied by a medium-sized family, it is submitted that there would be no additional potential for noise disturbance under the proposed use which would render the use materially different for planning purposes. Whilst, as has been explained, the property would continue to operate in all senses as a family household, it is the case that there would be stringent safeguarding measures and operating procedures in place, ‘behind the scenes’, which would minimise the potential for any noise emissions caused by the resident young people while they lived at the property. Indeed, the

Ofsted guidelines which need to be met in a residential home of the type proposed require as much, and stress the need for care homes to be operated in an environment as close as possible to a conventional family home, as quoted in Paragraph 21, above.

66. The observance of those Ofsted guidelines would be mandatory under the proposed use, and would ensure that the behaviour of the resident young people would be strictly controlled and managed at all times, much the same as would be expected should young people continue to occupy the property, under the established use, whilst in the care of their parents. In addition, the manager who would occasionally look in on the property would, as a matter of procedure, be highly qualified and experienced in overseeing a home of this type, usually with at least ten years of experience in the role. On this basis, it is evident that there would in fact be no further risk or potential for noise disturbance to neighbours to arise under the proposed use, in comparison with the existing, established C3(a) use, or an alternative use which could be undertaken, as a bona fide 'permitted change', under Use Class C3(b).

Summary and conclusions

67. This application seeks confirmation from the LPA, via the issuing of a Lawful Development Certificate, that the proposed use of the property as a residential home, with no more than two young people living as a household and receiving care and support from two specialised carers who would sleep at the property on a rota basis, would not represent a material change of use from its established use as a dwellinghouse under Use Class C3(a). In fact, the proposed use would also be materially the same, both in nature and impact, to that of a residential household operating under Use Class C3(b). This being the case, it is submitted that the proposed change of use would not represent 'development' for the purposes of the definition within Section 55 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, and as such would not require the express grant of planning consent.
68. Under the proposed use, the application property would be used to provide residential care for a maximum of two young people, who would receive daily care and support from carers with whom they shared a close relationship and deep rapport. Whilst the property would also be attended, occasionally, by a Manager, this would be only as required for monitoring and safeguarding purposes. The manager would often be based off site in their office, and would perform their administrative tasks remotely from that location.
69. The LPA has already indicated, through its recent approval of planning applications 69169 (3 Sandown Road), 67556 (141 Ainsworth Road) and 69777/LDCP (14 Higher Lane), 70530 (61 Prestwich Hills), 70735 (3 Shirehills), and 71162 (108 Heys Road), that the use of an existing C3(a) dwellinghouse, or in one case a C4 small HMO, as a small residential home, does not necessarily represent a material change of use in planning terms and, depending on the specifics of the case, will not require the grant of planning permission. The use proposed in this application would be less intensive than many of these similar proposals which have recently been judged to constitute a lawful use. This proposal would be likely to result in less people being present at the property at different times of the day than is currently the case, with no additional trips to and from the property being made. On this basis, the LPA is invited to apply a consistent judgment, and as such to grant a Certificate in relation to this proposal.
70. In light of the above submissions, the LPA is respectfully requested to approve this application and issue a Certificate of Lawful Development for a Proposed Use. This will enable the Applicant to continue their work providing support and care to young people in a safe, caring household environment, giving them a foundation from which they can go on to live happy and fulfilling lives in the community.

Appendix 1 - 3 Sandown Road decision

CERTIFICATE OF LAWFUL USE OR DEVELOPMENT (PROPOSED)

Town and Country Planning Act 1990: Section 192
(as amended by Section 10 of the Planning and Compensation Act 1991)
Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order
2010: Article 35

To:

Adrian Rose
Rose Consulting
16 Rhodesia Avenue
Halifax
HX30PB

On Behalf Of:

Zeeshan Chaudary
Accord Care Homes Ltd
28a Middle Hillgate
Stockport
SK1 3AY

Application Number: 69169

Date of Registration: 12/12/2022

First Schedule

Second Schedule

Lawful development certificate for proposed use of a (C3a) dwelling for a children's home for a maximum of three children with three carers of whom two sleep overnight working on a rota basis (C2)

3 Sandown Road, Bury, BL9 8HN

Bury Metropolitan Borough Council hereby certifies that on 12/12/2022 the matter described in the First Schedule in respect of the land specified in the Second Schedule and edged red on the plan attached to this certificate is **Lawful Development** within the meaning of Section 192 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended), for the following reason(s):

- 1 The proposal, described in the First Schedule, is not deemed to constitute development within the meaning of Section 55 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) as the proposed change of use of 3 Sandown Road, Bury, BL9 8HN to a children's home (Class C2) for up to 3 children with a maximum of 3 additional staff members with 2 of those staying overnight on a rota basis and operating in full accordance with the details set out within the applicants planning statement submitted 13th December 2022, would not represent a material change of use from the existing Class C3 dwellinghouse use, and would therefore be Lawful Development.

Applicant Plan Numbers:

Plans submitted 13/12/2022

Notes to Applicant

1. This certificate is issued solely for the purposes of Section 192 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).
2. It certifies that the matter described in the First Schedule and to the land specified in the Second Schedule was lawful, on the specified date and, thus, was not liable to enforcement action under section 172 of the 1990 Act on that date.
3. This certificate applies only to the extent of the matter described in the First Schedule and to the land specified in the Second Schedule and identified on the

attached plan. Any development or use materially different from that described or which relate to other land may render the owner or occupier liable to enforcement action.

4. The effect of the certificate is also qualified by the proviso in Section 192(4) of the 1990 Act, as amended, which states that the lawfulness of a described use or operation is only conclusively presumed where there has been no material change, before the use is instituted or the operations begun, in any of the matters relevant to determining such lawfulness.

NOTES TO APPLICANT

Not Applicable

Signed:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J. H. H.', is written over a dotted line.

.....
On behalf of the Council

Date of Decision: 18/04/2023

Appendix 2 - 141 Ainsworth Road decision

CERTIFICATE OF LAWFUL USE OR DEVELOPMENT (PROPOSED)

Town and Country Planning Act 1990: Section 192
(as amended by Section 10 of the Planning and Compensation Act 1991)
Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order
2010: Article 35

To:

Mr John Cummins
MTPC My Town Planning Consultancy
9 Astley Hall Drive
Ramsbottom
Bury
BL0 9DF

On Behalf Of:

Mr Rizwan Khan
Inspire Care Group Limited
95 Brompton Street
Oldham
OL4 1AQF

Application Number: 67556

Date of Registration: 17/09/2021

First Schedule

Second Schedule

Lawful development certificate for proposed change of use of existing dwelling house (Class C3(a)) to a care home (Class C2) for 4 young adults (16-18 years old) with 1 non-resident carer

141 Ainsworth Road, Bury, BL8 2RT

Bury Metropolitan Borough Council hereby certifies that on 17/09/2021 the matter described in the First Schedule in respect of the land specified in the Second Schedule and edged red on the plan attached to this certificate is **Lawful Development** within the meaning of Section 192 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended), for the following reason(s):

- 1 The proposal, described in the First Schedule, is not deemed to constitute development within the meaning of Section 55 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) as the proposed change of use of 141 Ainsworth Road, Bury, BL8 2RT, to a care home (Class C2) for up to 4 persons between the ages of 16 & 18 years with a maximum of 1 additional staff member staying overnight on a rota basis, would not represent a material change of use from the existing Class C3 dwellinghouse use, and would therefore be Lawful Development.

Applicant Plan Numbers:

Notes to Applicant

1. This certificate is issued solely for the purposes of Section 191/192 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).
2. It certifies that the matter described in the First Schedule and to the land specified in the Second Schedule was lawful, on the specified date and, thus, was not liable to enforcement action under section 172 of the 1990 Act on that date.
3. This certificate applies only to the extent of the matter described in the First Schedule and to the land specified in the Second Schedule and identified on the attached plan. Any development or use materially different from that described or

which relate to other land may render the owner or occupier liable to enforcement action.

4. The effect of the certificate is also qualified by the proviso in Section 192(4) of the 1990 Act, as amended, which states that the lawfulness of a described use or operation is only conclusively presumed where there has been no material change, before the use is instituted or the operations begun, in any of the matters relevant to determining such lawfulness.

NOTES TO APPLICANT

Not Applicable

Signed:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J. H. H.', is written over a dotted line.

.....
On behalf of the Council

Date of Decision: 03/12/2021

Appendix 3 - 14 Higher Lane Officer Report

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Sam Summers	Date of report: 20/09/2023	Ref: 69777/LDCP
Registration: 18/07/2023	LDFC:	Expiry Date: 12/09/2023
Site Visit:	Site Notice: N/A	Press Date: N/A
Objections:	Con Area: N/A	Adv Type: N/A
Reason for Delay: N/A		EIA: NA
		Dec Level: DEL
		Stat Class: NREQ

Proposal: Lawful development certificate for proposed change of use from HMO (Class C4) to residential care home (Class C2)

Location: 14 Higher Lane, Whitefield, Manchester, M45 7FY

Description

An application for a Certificate of Lawful Development under Section 192 for the proposed change of use of a dwellinghouse (Use Class C3(a)) to a children's care home (Class C2) for up to 2 children aged 8-16 with up to two staff living-in working on a shift pattern. The care staff would work on a rota basis and would not be permanently resident. Effectively, therefore, the property will be occupied as a single household with two adults and two young people.

Relevant Planning History

None

Publicity

None

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

None

Pre-start Conditions - None

Unitary Development Plan and Policies

Issues and Analysis

Applications for lawful development must be determined by planning law, fact and any other relevant judicial authority. In this case the planning law is the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and the Use Classes Order 1987. The relevant judicial authority in this case is the judgement in North Devon District Council v. First Secretary of State [2003] EWHC 157 (Admin).

In North Devon the question was to look at was:

Use Classes Order; Dwellinghouse used as home for children in care; Care provided by staff on rota basis; Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987; Whether residents living together as single household; Whether use falling within Class C2 or C3; Whether material change of use.

In the case that was subject of the appeal (North Devon) S Ltd used a house to provide residential care for two children. The house comprised a small downstairs office, individual bedrooms, and the usual kitchen and bathroom facilities. Two non-resident staff were on

duty at all times and the house was under the supervision of a team of six or seven adult carers, who attended on a rota basis. A question arose over whether the use required planning permission, and S Ltd applied, under section 191 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, for a certificate of lawful use. This was refused by the local council. On appeal, the inspector concluded that S Ltd was entitled to a certificate of lawful use because no material change of use had occurred. The council appealed that decision. In issue was the meaning of Classes C2 and C3 of the Use Classes Order 1987.

In North Devon it was ruled that for up to 6 persons living as a single household where care is provided caring staff must be permanently resident. It says:

"The concept of living together as a household presupposes a proper functioning household. In the context of a case such as this, that requires the children and a carer to reside in the premises, otherwise the use clearly falls within Class C2. It is apparent that the size of the institution is irrelevant for the purposes of C2, but if it falls within that definition, it is not to be regarded as a dwellinghouse. The inspector had been wrong to regard the use in this case as falling within C3(b) rather than C2. Class C3 does require at least one carer, together with those being cared for, to reside at the premises. The inspector had correctly decided that no material change of use had taken place. The use for a maximum of two children, with care provided by up to two non-resident staff at these premises, and only at these premises, was, in the circumstances, a lawful use".

In this application for lawful development the proposal for carers to be non-resident, in accordance with regard to the judicial authority, places the proposed use within Use Class C2 - Care Homes.

The other question in this application is whether or not the change of use constitutes a material change of use within the meaning of Section 55 of The Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

The judgement reiterated that case law had established that whether or not a material change of use had taken place was a question of fact and degree. The residing of up to 2 children aged 8-16 with up to 2 carers on a rota basis, no more than 4 people in total, is considered not to constitute a material change of use after taking into account the following factors. The size of the household of 2 8-16 year olds and 2 adults would not go beyond what would be expected of a standard family unit in a two storey detached dwellinghouse. The movements of the occupiers of the property would not go beyond what would be expected of a family unit. Each member of the household will have their own bedroom and will share all other domestic facilities within the property such as the bathroom, kitchen, lounge and garden. There is onsite parking at the rear of the building and also on street parking available on nearby side streets. There is expected to be a visit from a social worker once every 4-6 weeks in the early stages of a child's placement but this is expected to reduce to once every 2 months when the child has settled into the home, there is expected to be 1 visit every fortnight from other supporting professionals but the frequency of visits in total is not any more than one could expect from a family home.

As such the change of use would not alter the character of the immediate vicinity, either by alteration of the building or by any increase in noise or disturbance or have any more significant impact on the residential amenity of nearby occupiers. As such the change of use does fall into the different use class of C2 - care homes, but does not constitute a material change of use from residential occupation. Therefore the proposed change of use would be lawful.

Recommendation: LAWFUL

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The proposal, described in the First Schedule, is not deemed to constitute development within the meaning of Section 55 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) as the proposed change of use of 67 Hunstanton Drive, Bury, BL8 1XH to a residential children's home for three children with up to two staff working on a rota basis (Class C2) and operating in full accordance with the details set out within the applicants planning statement submitted 15th June 2023, would not represent a material change of use from the existing Class C3 dwellinghouse use, and would therefore be Lawful Development.

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
SS	20/09/2023		

CERTIFICATE OF LAWFUL USE OR DEVELOPMENT (PROPOSED)

Town and Country Planning Act 1990: Section 192
(as amended by Section 10 of the Planning and Compensation Act 1991)
Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order
2010: Article 35

To:

Mr Richard Jewkes
Simpatico Town Planning
33 Musk Lane West
Lower Gornal
DY3 2UJ

On Behalf Of:

Sarwal
SAI Houses Limited
61 Prestwich Hills
Prestwich
Manchester
M25 9PY

Application Number: 70530

Date of Registration: 19/02/2024

First Schedule

Lawful Development Certificate for proposed change of use of dwelling (Class C3a) to a residential home (Class C2) for a maximum of three children with up to three carers who would sleep overnight on a rota basis

Second Schedule

61 Prestwich Hills, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 9PY

Bury Metropolitan Borough Council hereby certifies that on 19/02/2024 the matter described in the First Schedule in respect of the land specified in the Second Schedule and edged red on the plan attached to this certificate is **Lawful Development** within the meaning of Section 192 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended), for the following reason(s):

- 1 The proposal, described in the First Schedule, is not deemed to constitute development within the meaning of Section 55 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) as the proposed change of use of 61 Prestwich Hills, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 9PY to a residential children's home for up to three children aged 8-18 with up to three staff working on a rota basis (Class C2) and operating in full accordance with the details set out within the applicants supporting statement submitted 4th March 2024, would not represent a material change of use from the existing Class C3 dwellinghouse use, and would therefore be Lawful Development.

Applicant Plan Numbers:

Location Plan and Supporting Statement

Notes to Applicant

1. This certificate is issued solely for the purposes of Section 192 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).
2. It certifies that the matter described in the First Schedule and to the land specified in the Second Schedule was lawful, on the specified date and, thus, was not liable to enforcement action under section 172 of the 1990 Act on that date.

3. This certificate applies only to the extent of the matter described in the First Schedule and to the land specified in the Second Schedule and identified on the attached plan. Any development or use materially different from that described or which relate to other land may render the owner or occupier liable to enforcement action.
4. The effect of the certificate is also qualified by the proviso in Section 192(4) of the 1990 Act, as amended, which states that the lawfulness of a described use or operation is only conclusively presumed where there has been no material change, before the use is instituted or the operations begun, in any of the matters relevant to determining such lawfulness.

NOTES TO APPLICANT

Not Applicable

Signed:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J. H. H.', is written over a dotted line.

.....
On behalf of the Council

Date of Decision: 19/04/2024

CERTIFICATE OF LAWFUL USE OR DEVELOPMENT (PROPOSED)

Town and Country Planning Act 1990: Section 192
(as amended by Section 10 of the Planning and Compensation Act 1991)
Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order
2010: Article 35

To:

Mr Jewkes
Simpatico Town Planning
33
Musk Lane West
Lower Gornal
West Midlands
DY3 2UJ

On Behalf Of:

Sarwal
SAI Houses Limited
8 Ringstone Close
Prestwich
Bury
M25 9PZ

Application Number: 70735

Date of Registration: 07/05/2024

First Schedule

Lawful development certificate for proposed change of use from dwelling (Class C3) to residential home (Class C2) for maximum of 2 no. children (aged 8-18) and up to 2 no. carers who would sleep overnight on a rota basis

Second Schedule

3 Shirehills, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 9QB

Bury Metropolitan Borough Council hereby certifies that on 07/05/2024 the matter described in the First Schedule in respect of the land specified in the Second Schedule and edged red on the plan attached to this certificate is **Lawful Development** within the meaning of Section 192 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended), for the following reason(s):

- 1 The proposal, described in the First Schedule, is not deemed to constitute development within the meaning of Section 55 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) as the proposed change of use of 3 Shirehills, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 9QB to a residential children's home for up to two children aged 8-18 with up to two staff working on a rota basis (Class C2) and operating in full accordance with the details set out within the applicants supporting statement submitted 9th May 2024, would not represent a material change of use from the existing Class C3 dwellinghouse use, and would therefore be Lawful Development.

Applicant Plan Numbers:

Floor Plans, Location Plan and Supporting Statement all submitted 09/05/2024

Notes to Applicant

1. This certificate is issued solely for the purposes of Section 192 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).
2. It certifies that the matter described in the First Schedule and to the land specified in the Second Schedule was lawful, on the specified date and, thus, was not liable

to enforcement action under section 172 of the 1990 Act on that date.

3. This certificate applies only to the extent of the matter described in the First Schedule and to the land specified in the Second Schedule and identified on the attached plan. Any development or use materially different from that described or which relate to other land may render the owner or occupier liable to enforcement action.
4. The effect of the certificate is also qualified by the proviso in Section 192(4) of the 1990 Act, as amended, which states that the lawfulness of a described use or operation is only conclusively presumed where there has been no material change, before the use is instituted or the operations begun, in any of the matters relevant to determining such lawfulness.

NOTES TO APPLICANT

Not Applicable

Signed:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Paul Harris', is written over a dotted line.

.....
On behalf of the Council

Date of Decision: 01/07/2024

CERTIFICATE OF LAWFUL USE OR DEVELOPMENT (PROPOSED)

Town and Country Planning Act 1990: Section 192
(as amended by Section 10 of the Planning and Compensation Act 1991)
Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) Order
2010: Article 35

To:

Mr Jewkes
Simpatico Town Planning
33
Musk Lane West
Lower Gornal
DY3 2UJ

On Behalf Of:

Sarwal
SAI Houses Limited
108 Heys Road
Prestwich
Bury
M25 1LA

Application Number: 71162

Date of Registration: 12/09/2024

First Schedule

Second Schedule

Lawful Development Certificate for proposed use of a (C3a) dwelling as a residential home for a maximum of two children with two carers who would sleep overnight on a rota basis (C2).

108 Heys Road, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 1LA

Bury Metropolitan Borough Council hereby certifies that on 12/09/2024 the matter described in the First Schedule in respect of the land specified in the Second Schedule and edged red on the plan attached to this certificate is **Lawful Development** within the meaning of Section 192 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended), for the following reason(s):

- 1 The proposal, described in the First Schedule, is not deemed to constitute development within the meaning of Section 55 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) as the proposed change of use of 108 Heys Road, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 1LA to a residential children's home for up to two children with up to two staff working on a rota basis (Class C2) and operating in full accordance with the details set out within the applicants supporting statement submitted 12th September 2024, would not represent a material change of use from the existing Class C3 dwellinghouse use, and would therefore be Lawful Development.

Applicant Plan Numbers:

Location Plan, Floor Plans and Supporting statement (All submitted 12/09/2024)

Notes to Applicant

1. This certificate is issued solely for the purposes of Section 192 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).
2. It certifies that the matter described in the First Schedule and to the land specified in the Second Schedule was lawful, on the specified date and, thus, was not liable to enforcement action under section 172 of the 1990 Act on that date.

3. This certificate applies only to the extent of the matter described in the First Schedule and to the land specified in the Second Schedule and identified on the attached plan. Any development or use materially different from that described or which relate to other land may render the owner or occupier liable to enforcement action.
4. The effect of the certificate is also qualified by the proviso in Section 192(4) of the 1990 Act, as amended, which states that the lawfulness of a described use or operation is only conclusively presumed where there has been no material change, before the use is instituted or the operations begun, in any of the matters relevant to determining such lawfulness.

NOTES TO APPLICANT

Not Applicable

Signed:



.....
On behalf of the Council

Date of Decision: 24/10/2024