

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Jack Livingstone	Date of report: 13/02/2023	Ref: 68924/FUL
Registration: 31/10/2022	LDFC: 23/11/2022	Expiry Date: 26/12/2022
Site Visit: 01/12/2022	Site Notice: N/A	Press Date: N/A
Objections: 0	Con Area: N/A	Adv Type: N/A
Reason for Delay: N/A		EIA: NA
		Dec Level: DEL
		Stat Class: HOUS

Proposal: Single storey front/side extension and canopy

Location: 4 Inglewhite Close, Bury, BL9 9NT

Description

The application relates to a semi-detached dwelling with gable roof. The premises are set back from the highway with gardens to the front and rear of the property. The premises has an existing two storey extension to the side.

The premises are in a residential area with dwellings located to the front and to both sides. No. 2 has been extended to the rear and No. 6 is as original.

Planning permission is sought for the construction of a front/ side extension and canopy.

Relevant Planning History

38807 - Two storey extension at side - Approve with Conditions 26/03/02

Publicity

Neighbours notified by letter dated 1st November 2022. No objections received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

Cadent - initial objection to the rear extension. Consequently, the rear extension has been removed from the proposals.

Pre-start Conditions - N/A.

Unitary Development Plan and Policies

H2/3 Extensions and Alterations

SPD6 Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP) together with other relevant material planning considerations. The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP Policy will be referred to in the report, unless there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;
The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;
The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

Visual Amenity

The proposed front porch and extension are modest in proportion and in keeping with the house and streetscape.

Finishing materials are acceptable and are in keeping with the house and the character of the area.

It is considered that the proposals would be acceptable in terms of visual amenity to UDP Policy H2/3 and SPD 6.

Residential Amenity

SPD 6 and H2/3 seek to reduce the impact of proposals on the residential amenity of neighbouring properties.

The proposed front windows would be located over 20 metres from the directly facing dwellings and as such the proposals comply with the aspect standards contained within SPD 6.

Other considerations

Planning permission was initially sought for a rear extension, as well as the front/ side extension with canopy. Cadent objected to the proposed rear extension on account of its proximity to one of their assets. Due to this, the applicant submitted revised plans, which excluded the rear extension. As such, the formerly proposed rear extension is not

considered in this report.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 Town & Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2 This decision relates to drawings numbered Sheet 1, Sheet 2, Sheet 3 (revised), and Sheet 4 (revised) and the development shall not be carried out except in accordance with the drawings hereby approved.
Reason. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of design pursuant to the policies of the Bury Unitary Development Plan listed.
- 3 The external finishing materials for the proposal hereby approved shall match those of the existing building.
Reason. In the interests of visual amenity and to ensure a satisfactory development pursuant to Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>