

DELEGATED REPORT

Report by Jane Langan	Date of report: 22/11/2021	Ref: 67547/FUL
Registration: 18/10/2021	LDFC: 08/11/2021	Expiry Date: 13/12/2021
Site Visit: 19/10/2021	Site Notice: N/A	Press Date: N/A
Objections: 0	Con Area: N/A	Adv Type: N/A
Reason for Delay: N/A		EIA: NA
		Dec Level: DEL
		Stat Class: HOUS

Proposal: Demolition of existing conservatory and erection of a single storey rear extension and side dormer loft conversion

Location: 5 Mount Pleasant, Prestwich, Manchester, M25 2SD

Description

The property is a semi detached house located on a residential estate. It is within simister village which is all within the Green Belt. It has a garden and drive to the front and a path along the side leads to a fenced rear garden. The adjoining No.7 is extended single storey at the rear and with a side dormer. To the opposite side No.3 is the same semi type and extended single and first floor at the side. To the rear the site has a fenced garden which borders the rear gardens to 98 & 100 Simister Lane which are located at a lower level.

The application includes a single storey extension at the rear 2.4m deep to form a play room and office. To the side roof slope a dormer would be constructed to add a bedroom and bathroom.

Relevant Planning History

None.

Publicity

Surrounding neighbours were notified by letter and no responses have been received.

Statutory/Non-Statutory Consultations

None.

Pre-start Conditions - Not relevant.

Unitary Development Plan and Policies

OL1	Green Belt
H2/3	Extensions and Alterations
SPD6	Supplementary Planning Document 6: Alterations & Extensions

Issues and Analysis

The following report includes analysis of the merits of the application against the relevant policies of both the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the adopted Bury Unitary Development Plan (UDP) together with other relevant material planning considerations. The policies of the UDP that have been used to assess this application are considered to be in accordance with the NPPF and as such are material planning considerations. For simplicity, just the UDP Policy will be referred to in the report, unless there is a particular matter to highlight arising from the NPPF where it would otherwise be specifically mentioned.

Equality Act 2010: All planning applications are considered in light of the Equality Act 2010 and associated Public Sector Equality Duty, where the Council is required to have due regard for:

The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation;

The advancement of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it;

The fostering of good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and person who do not share it; which applies to people from the protected equality groups.

Human Rights: All planning applications are considered against the provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Under Article 6 the applicants (and those third parties who have made representations) have the right to a fair hearing and to this end full consideration will be given to their comments.

Article 8 and Protocol 1 of the First Article confer a right to respect private and family life and a right to the protection of property, ie peaceful enjoyment of one's possessions which could include a person's home, and other land and business assets.

In taking account of the Council policy as set out in the Bury Unitary Development Plan 1997 and all material planning considerations, it is concluded on balance that the rights conferred upon the applicant/ objectors/ residents/ other interested party by Article 8 and Article 1 of the First Protocol may be interfered with, since such interference is in accordance with the law and is justified in the public interest. Any restriction of these rights posed by refusal/ approval of the application is legitimate since it is proportionate to the wider benefits of such a decision, is based upon the merits of the proposal, and falls within the margin of discretion afforded to the Council under the Town & Country Planning Acts.

The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 imposes (without prejudice to any other obligation imposed on it) a duty upon the Council to exercise its functions and have due regard to the likely effect of the exercise of its functions on, and the need to do all that it reasonably can to prevent crime and disorder in its area. In so doing and on making planning decisions under the Town and Country Planning Acts, the material Planning considerations shall have due regard to the provisions of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and its implications in the exercise of its functions.

Visual amenity and design - The single storey extension would have a monopitch roof with roof lights and door/window to the rear. Materials would be grey concrete tiles and white render. The side dormer would have a hipped roof with grey concrete roof tiles and grey upvc cladding. It would be similar in size and design to the dormers to the adjacent Nos. 7 & 9.

The proposal is considered acceptable and in keeping with the existing property and would not have a detrimental impact on the visual amenity of the area.

Green Belt - The property is located within the Green Belt but within a residential estate and surrounded by other properties. As such the proposal would not impact on the character or openness of the Green Belt.

Parking - The proposal would increase the number of bedrooms from 2 to 3 and SPD6 requires parking spaces as set out in SPD 11 Parking Standards to be considered. This can then require the proposal to provide a maximum of 2 parking spaces. Parking would be as existing to the front drive which would be unaffected by the development and there is space for one vehicle. There may be potential for further if the applicant should require it. The area has on street parking and there is public transport available. In this instance then the parking arrangements are considered sufficient

Residential amenity - The proposed single storey to the rear would project to the same level as the single storey to the adjoining property.

The dormer would face the side to No.3 and the windows would be obscure glazed including the bedroom window. It was suggested to the agent that a small window could be added to the side wall of the dormer to the front however this has not been included on the plans. A side window was included on the approval for No.7 (non habitable rooms) however as can be seen from the site photos this has not been added. The proposal would then see a habitable room with limited outlook however this is the applicants choice and there are not considered to be grounds for refusal.

The plans also include a new ground floor window to this side to the kitchen. This could be inserted under permitted development and it would face only the blank side wall to No.3.

There would then be no serious impact on the amenity of any adjacent neighbouring properties.

The proposal complies with UDP Policy H2/3 and SPD6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

Statement in accordance with Article 35(2) Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2015

The Local Planning Authority worked positively and proactively with the applicant to identify various solutions during the application process to ensure that the proposal comprised sustainable development and would improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area and would accord with the development plan. These were incorporated into the scheme and/or have been secured by planning condition. The Local Planning Authority has therefore implemented the requirement in Paragraph 38 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Recommendation: Approve with Conditions

Conditions and Reasons:

- 1 The development must be begun not later than three years beginning with the date of this permission.
Reason. Required to be imposed by Section 91 Town & Country Planning Act 1990.
- 2 This decision relates to drawings numbered 103-L01, 103-L02_RevB, 103-L03, 103-L08, 103-P01, 103-P02_RevD, 103-P03_RevD and the development shall not be carried out except in accordance with the drawings hereby approved.
Reason. For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure a satisfactory standard of design pursuant to the policies of the Bury Unitary Development Plan listed.
- 3 Before the first occupation of the extension hereby permitted the side windows to the dormer extension shall be fitted with obscured glazing and shall be permanently retained in that condition thereafter.
Reason. To protect the privacy of adjoining occupiers and to accord with Policy H2/3 - Extensions and Alterations of the Bury Unitary Development Plan and Supplementary Planning Document 6 - Alterations and Extensions to Residential Properties.

<u>Case Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Sign off Officer</u>	<u>Date</u>
JL	22/11/21	DM	25/11/21